

Clark County Noxious Weed Control Board Meeting Minutes



Monday, May 3, 2021, 9:11am to 9:46am Meeting Via Teleconference

Control Board: Jerry Kolke, Bill Zimmerman, Lee Wells, Randy Lawffer, Lisa Nelski, Justin O'Dea*

Clark County Staff:

Parks and Lands: Galina Burley*, Kevin Tyler, Justin Collell, Chris Walker, Denielle Cowley

Guests: None

* Not Present

9:11am Called to Order - Jerry Kolke

Time	Action Item
9:44am	MOTION BY: Bill Zimmerman SECOND BY: Lisa Nelski
	MOTION : Motion to sign MOU with NAISMA to institute inspection of gravel and compost going forward to county councilors and recommend they institute a fee program to offset the cost
	DISCUSSION:
	IN FAVOR: Unanimous OPPOSED: None ABSTAINED: None

Time	Action Item
9:45am	MOTION BY: Randy Lawffer SECOND BY: Lee Wells
	MOTION: Motion to adopt Feb 1, 2021 minutes as submitted
	DISCUSSION:
	IN FAVOR: Unanimous OPPOSED: None ABSTAINED: None

2) Update on House Bill 1355 – Justin Collell

a) Bill is at Governor's desk – most pertinent change for local weed board is in Section 3, line 12 where it says, "At least ((four)) three of the voting members shall be engaged in the primary production of agricultural products."

3) Weed Free Gravel/Mulch Inspections – Justin Collell

- a) Presentation and Standards were emailed to board due to teleconference
- b) Justin explained the program would be like the Weed Free Forage except that Clark County would have an agreement directly with NAISMA rather than using the agreement WSDA has
- c) Inspectors would certify during the growing season
- d) Bill asked if weed free gravel was a concern Justin explained that we have had requests for certification we don't do that although Cowlitz and Skamania counties do
- e) Randy asked if this would add to workload for Vegetation Justin said it would depend on the interest

- f) The agreement with NAISMA is \$100 annually and could be offset by a fee (this would be the only fee attached to the certification
- g) Randy asked if the demand would mostly be from the National Forest, not DNR or Clark County and would this make it be required more for other agencies Justin said he didn't believe Clark County builds it into their contracts and wouldn't know if it would cause more of a requirement Lisa mentioned it is not a bad idea and would keep weeds from spreading/stop it at the pit
- h) Bill asked about the compost/mulch Justin is less familiar with this but it would be similar to inspecting for weeds producing seed not getting into the mulch an inspector could certify but if there were seeds in the past there is no guarantee they aren't there now inspector's would be looking at the surrounding area NAISMA would like to keep producers caring for their property and if they continue to do so and opt in for weed free gravel/mulch he is satisfied that it would reduce the weed seeds
- i) Bill asked if this would be a requirement for all compost Justin said it would only be a requirement for the producers of weed free those who opt in as it is with weed free forage
- j) Jerry asked about the gravel pits as they are constantly turning over and if overburden would be checked

5) Items from the Board – Jerry Kolke

9:46am Meeting Adjourned
Submitted by Chris Walker, Secretary

Upcoming Meeting:

Date: August 2, 2021
Time: 9:00am to 11:00am

Location: TBD