

Clark County Public Works

Bid Inquiry Log

Last Update: November 12, 2021

Responses will be posted on the project's "Bid Inquiry Log", which will be updated twice daily, at noon and 6:00 PM. The Bid Inquiry log will display all questions and answers to the questions that have accumulated by the posting time. Questions too late to be answered as of that posting will remain unanswered. The questions and answers posted on the Bid Inquiry Log at that time will be considered part of the contract and ranked as an Addendum with respect to order of precedence under Section 1-04.2 of the Standard Specification.

Project CRP #:	405960
Title:	Hockinson Meadows Community Park Disc Golf Course and Pedestrian Bridge
Engineer In Charge:	Michael Tracy

Date: 10/21/2021
Question #1: The wages in the spec are from 6/23/20. Should we use those or the more current wages?
Reference: Page 118 of Spec
Answer: See forthcoming addendum

Date: 10/22/2021
Question #2: Will an alternative material prefabricated bridge be allowed assuming all specifications are met?
Reference:
Answer: No

Date: 10/26/2021
Question #3: What are the pile tip elevations for the helical piles for the bridge abutments? The pile schedule on S-104 notes to refer to Geotech report. Please provide the Geotech report with this information.
Reference:
Answer: The geotechnical report will be uploaded to the Builders' Exchange of Washington website.

Date: 10/26/2021
Question #4: There are 69 habitat/mow limit signs shown on plan, but no bid item for these. How do these get paid for?
Reference:
Answer: The habitat/mow limit sign bid item are part of addendum 1. The total number should be 70 and will be revised in an upcoming addendum.

Date: 10/26/2021
Question #5: What is the 153.7 CY Bid Item - 17 Bark or Wood Chip Mulch calculated based on? 3' DIA mulch ring around each plant @ 3" depth? According to the plans, there's 918 plants and 3 shrubs which would make roughly 60 CY of bark. Please advise.
Reference:
Answer: **The Bark or Wood Chip Mulch bid item should be to 62 CY. See forthcoming addendum.**

Date: 10/26/2021
Question #6: According to the plant schedule & bid item, there's 918 shrubs and 3 trees. When adding up the shrub areas shown on SP pages, there's 23,294 SF of shrub area. Based on the SF of shrub area shown and plant spacing given in the plant schedule on SP-002, this quantity seems very low. With the 23,294 SF given, I come up with needing 4155 plants based on spacing shown on the plant schedule. Please advise. If the plant quantity goes up, wont this affect bid items 17 and 20? Please advise.
Reference:
Answer: **Both plant number and shrub areas SF are based on habitat mitigation requirements. Suggested O.C. spacing shown in the Plant Schedule (Sheet SP-002) can be ignored.**

Date: 10/21/2021
Question #7: Note #2 on C-107 refers to a splash block detail, but it doesn't appear to be included in the drawings. Please provide. Under what bid item do the splash blocks get paid under?
Reference:
Answer: **This shall be incidental to the Pre-Engineered Shelter (item #13 3423). A revised plan sheet will be uploaded in the future.**

Date: 10/21/2021
Question #8: What is the 725 CY Bid Item - 8 Crushed Surfacing Base Course calculated based on? Just the CSBC below the pathway?
Reference:
Answer: **Crushed surfacing base course is only for the Ped trail. All structural fill materials are included in other bid items.**

Date: 10/21/2021
Question #9: What is required for the Riparian Habitat Replacement Area?
Reference:
Answer: **The riparian habitat replacement areas are the native shrub planting areas.**

Date: 10/21/2021

Question #10: How does the typical mitigation plan detail 1 on SP-002 relate to the plant schedule and quantities/OC spacing?

Reference:

Answer: The typical mitigation detail is to show a typical layout and elevation planting area. It is for reference only. Plant spacing will be less dense than the typical layout

Date: 10/28/2021

Question #11: Transco industries in more the capable of engineering and fabricating this pedestrian Bridge. We have built one in West Moorland Park in Oregon. Just have not done five in the passed three years as your job specs require. Transco would still like to participate on this project.

Reference:

Answer: Bid in accordance with the contract

Date: 10/28/2021

Question #12: Will you require WSDOT AISC Certifications? Typically for a short span bridge structure it is not required. Also will you please provide bridge live load requirements for this project please.

Reference:

Answer: Please refer to 6-21.3 in the special provisions for the bridge manufacturer qualifications.

90 PSF is required.

Date: 11/3/2021

Question #13: Specifications require ACQ preservative treatment for the timber decking on the pedestrian bridge. We are being told by a supplier that this preservative treatment is no longer available. Will Clark County accept alternative timber decking treatments?

Reference: 6-21.2

Answer: ACQ is not the only preservative noted in the special provisions. But yes, Clark County would be open to reviewing alternate preservative treatments.

Date: 11/4/2021

Question #14: The specifications include measurement for topsoil and amendments, but no section for payment. There is no bid item for topsoil. Where is topsoil paid?

Reference: 8-02.4 Measurement

Answer: No topsoil or amendments will be required.

Date: 11/4/2021

Question #15: The details for the trash receptacle on SP-105 sheet 10 of 30 in the plans does not provide dimensions for the concrete pad and aggregate

<p>Reference: SP-105 10 of 30</p> <p>Answer: Sp-105 indicates a circle with a diameter of 3'-6". Concrete thickness of 6" and the aggregate base thickness of 8".</p>
<p>Date: 11/4/2021</p> <p>Question #16: The project requires two years of watering maintenance for plantings. Can the temp access road be left in place for this duration to maintain access for the trucks that will be required for temp watering?</p> <p>Reference: Temporary Construction Access Road</p> <p>Answer: Per 8-02.3 in the special provisions only low impact vehicles are allowed within mitigation areas. The temporary road is not being removed in its entirety, the contractor could use the pathway for access but would be required to restore any damage caused by watering/ maintenance activities prior to physical completion.</p>
<p>Date: 11/4/2021</p> <p>Question #17: We are not totally clear on what exactly bid item #23 (Site Furnishings - Disc Golf Course Sign Frame) is to include. The payment under Part 5 states that payment is to include "all costs associated with delivering and installing signs". Details/notes on SP-106 call out that there is to be 3/4" thick painted MDO plywood sign face installed and a large sign graphic supplied by the County. Please advise on what specifically is paid under this bid item.</p> <p>Reference: PART 5 - PAYMENT, plan sheet SP-106</p> <p>Answer: The only item provided by the county is the Clark County Logo artwork. All costs to provide and install the signs are the responsibility of the contractor.</p>
<p>Date: 11/4/2021</p> <p>Question #18: Detail D/SP-110 refers to 1/S-105 for reinforcement bar for shelter slab. Sheet S-105 is not included in the project plans and no other detail has been found. Can Clark County provide sheet S-105 or detail for reinforcement?</p> <p>Reference: SP-110</p> <p>Answer: Refer to the note on SP-109 and SP-110. This is the responsibility for the contractors. See forthcoming addendum.</p>
<p>Date: 11/5/2021</p> <p>Question #19: In the specs page 50 Section 8-02.3(6) Mulch and Amendments it mentions Compost Amended Topsoil but there is no bid item for this one. Will there be a bid item added or is this included in Bid Item No. 32 for Site Preparation?</p> <p>Reference: Specs page 50-51</p>

Answer:	No topsoil or amendments will be required. Mulch is covered by bid item #17 Bark or Wood Chip Mulch.
Date:	11/5/2021
Question #20:	I need S-105 page, for the shelter slab detail D/SP-110 refers to that page which is not in the packet
Reference:	
Answer:	Refer to the note on SP-109 and SP-110. This is part of the contractors' design and their responsibility. See forthcoming addendum.
Date:	11/9/2021
Question #21:	Trying to determine if we are going to bid Hockinson Meadows Community Park project. TrueNorth Steel is a AISC certified fabrication facility Certification/Endorsement Types: BU, SBR. located in Missoula Montana. SBR: Certified Bridge Fabricator - Simple is typically approved for short span structures like the 6' x 50' specified for this project. Advanced Bridge Certification is specified and we have this certification in other plants. Will you relax the AISC cert to Simple?
Reference:	AISC Certification
Answer:	Please refer to 6-21.3 in the special provisions for the bridge manufacturer qualifications. Bid in accordance with the contract.
Date:	11/10/2021
Question #22:	The detail for the disc golf tee pad shows a 4" crushed surfacing base course section under the pad and also calls out for a crushed surfacing top course on top of that, but no sectional depth is provided. Please clarify what the sectional depth for the crushed surfacing top course should be.
Reference:	SP-107, Detail #4
Answer:	The typical installation indicates 5" from the top of the eco-grid with concrete blocks to the top of the CSBC layer. The thickness of the CSTC layer could vary depending on the manufacturer of the eco-grid. For example, if Ecoraster Bloxx are used the layer would be approximately 3" thick.
Date:	11/10/2021
Question #23:	It is not clear to us exactly what is Owner supplied in regards to Eco-grid and concrete block. It appears that the Owner is supplying ALL eco-grid (both that filled with topsoil and that that get concrete blocks), and that the Contractor is only to supply an additional 100 SF per bid item #26. Please confirm that the concrete blocks (Ecoraster Bloxx) are supplied by the Contractor.
Reference:	Section 12 9300 - SITE FURNISHINGS, 2.3 Disc Golf Tee Pads,

Answer:	Measurement and Payment See forthcoming addendum.
Date:	11/10/2021
Question #24:	The Donated material is not compatible with Ecoraster and does not connect as per the design of the project." - Joe Sharp with EQO PRO (Ecoraster)
Reference:	EQO PRO is telling us that the Owner supplied Eco-Grid is not compatible with the real product and will not mate up. Please advise. 2.3 Disc Golf Tee-Pads in Addendum #1, parts A, B, C, and D
Answer:	See forthcoming addendum.
Date:	11/10/2021
Question #25:	The details in the plans call out the Eco-grid as 13"x13" and also as 12"x12"
Reference:	Which is correct? SP-107, Details #4 and #5
Answer:	Ecoraster products are approximately 13" x 13". If the contractor chooses to go for an approved equal product it could be either 13" x 13" or 12" x 12". As long as the overall dimensions of the tee are the same.
Date:	11/11/21
Question #26:	Bid Schedule includes 1,554 SF of "Additional Eco-Grid" and details on SP-107 show Eco-Grid, with concrete blocks and with sand and gravel fill. Spec 12 9300 is unclear if unit price should or should not include procurement of additional concrete blocks. As procurement of additional blocks will have price implications, can the County clarify if contractor should include concrete blocks in the pricing and if so, for the full 1,554 SF or just a portion?
Reference:	Bid Schedule, SP-107, CSI Spec 12 9300
Answer:	See forthcoming addendum.
Date:	11/11/21
Question #27:	According to the distributor of the Ecoraster Bloxx; the Eco-grid the county has purchased is different than what is called out on 12 9300 2.3(D) and may not be compatible. Can we get some verification on what exactly needs to be supplied and if the county has the Bloxx with concrete inserts, the E50 series, or a different brand?

Reference: Eco-Grid

Answer: See forthcoming addendum.

Date: 11/12/21

Question #28: I see that on the County's site there has been an addendum #2 issued but it is not posted on BXWA. Will the bid date be pushed for this project?

Reference:

Answer: There are currently no plans to push the bid date. The addendum appears to be up on the BXWA website.