



CLARK COUNTY

RFP #847

ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING and NATURAL RESOURCES TASK ASSIGNMENT CONTRACTS QUESTIONS and ANSWERS UPDATED: DECEMBER 7, 2022

	QUESTION	ANSWER
1.	For the Environmental On-Call RFP, are subs allowed/encouraged?	No, proposals for the Task Assignment RFP should only include services offered by the respondent without sub-consultant support.
2.	Per Section IIB Proposal Submission, Item 2, the proposal shall not exceed five (5) pages, excluding resumes. Section IIC Proposal Content does not ask for resumes. Can you clarify whether proposers should include resumes and if so should they be for key staff only, or for all staff available to potentially assist the County?	Yes, consultants may provide resumes for staff that are likely to work on County projects.
3.	Per Section IIB Proposal Content, Item 6, the cost is to be determined per task. Can you clarify if consultants need to include any information related to rates?	This is a Qualifications based selection, rates are not considered. Please do not include rate tables or other information related to cost or rates in your proposal.
4.	The RFP states that work cost will be determined on a "task-by-task" basis. Does Clark County need to see anything on the front end with this original submittal (e.g. rates tables)?	This is a Qualifications based selection, rates are not considered. Please do not include rate tables or other information related to cost or rates in your proposal.
5.	The RFP references a WSDOT Local Agency Standard Consultant Agreement and directs the reader to Attachment C. There does not appear to be the proper attachment in Attachment C which includes a certification page for "Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters." Could you please provide us with the specific contract that Clark County intends to reference?	Thank you for pointing out this error— Attachment C does not contain the WSDOT Local Agency Standard Consultant Agreement. It contains the Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters. The WSDOT Consultant Agreement is provided in Section IB Item 10, or can be accessed at this link .
6.	Section IIC Proposal Content includes items 5 (Project Approach and Understanding) and 6 (Proposed Cost), both of which say they will be developed on a task-by-task basis. Do we need to address these items in our proposal?	This is a Qualifications based selection, rates are not considered. Project Approach/Understanding and Proposed Cost should not be addressed in your proposal.

7.	Once the qualified firm(s) are selected, is Clark County amendable to negotiating any of the terms and conditions of the WSDOT Standard Subconsultant Agreement included in the RFP?	Clark County is not able to negotiate terms and conditions in the WSDOT LA Standard Consultant Agreement.
8.	Can you confirm that sections IIC(4) and (5) of the proposal preparation and submittal (project approach and understanding and proposed cost) are not part of the scoring criteria?	Correct, Project Approach and Understanding and Proposed Costs are not part of the scoring criteria for this RFP.
9.	Is the PO Box 5000 address the only option if sending the proposal by US Postal Service, or will USPS also deliver to the Franklin Street address?	Please refer to the first page of the RFP for specific shipping instructions. If using USPS, the address specified for USPS should be used.
10.	Will Clark County permit emailed proposals submitted in response to RFP #847 ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITTING and NATURAL RESOURCES TASK ASSIGNMENT CONTRACTS?	Please refer to the first page of the RFP for specific submittal instructions. Digital submittals are not accepted for proposals.
11.	In Area 4, can you please provide more information for "Provide aquatic habitat design and implementation;". We understand this RFP is for environmental permitting and natural resources task assignment contract, so we would like to ensure Clark Co. isn't looking for our capabilities (recent projects) with engineering elements in addition to habitat design and implementation completed by environmental and natural resources professionals.	Tasks under <i>Area 4: Watershed Assessment, Stream Restoration and Fish Habitat Enhancement</i> are limited to non-engineering work.
12.	For the RFP responses in general, we understand the work approach and project understanding as well as the work costs will be developed on a task-by-task basis. For these sections in our proposal responses, is Clark County looking for any general information for proposal content in these sections?	The project approach and understanding sections will not be used as scoring criteria for proposals.
13.	The evaluation criteria lists references in the third category. However, references are not required under the Proposal Content (Section IIC). Does the county require references? If so, how many?	References will be considered in the scoring criteria for proposals. The number of included references is up to the respondent's discretion.