

## Clark County Public Works

### Bid Inquiry Log

Last Update: June 3, 2025

Responses will be posted on the project's "Bid Inquiry Log", which will be updated twice daily, at noon and 6:00 PM. The Bid Inquiry log will display all questions and answers to the questions that have accumulated by the posting time. Questions too late to be answered as of that posting will remain unanswered. The questions and answers posted on the Bid Inquiry Log at that time will be considered part of the contract and ranked as an Addendum with respect to order of precedence under Section 1-04.2 of the Standard Specification.

<b>Project CRP #:</b>	<b>300322 (PRJ0000580)</b>
<b>Title:</b>	<b>NE 179th Street at NE 29th Avenue</b>
<b>Engineer In Charge:</b>	<b>Michael Tracy</b>

**Date:** May 9, 2025

**Question #1:** With the size and complexity of this project we would like to request a 2 week bid extension. Currently the bid date falls after a holiday weekend.

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Clark County will consider extending the bid opening by 1 week to June 3, 2025 in an upcoming addendum.

---

**Date:** May 12, 2025

**Question #2:** Is there going to be an asphalt cost price adjustment?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum.

---

**Date:** May 14, 2025

**Question #3:** Is it possible to get either a vectorized PDF copy of the plans or CAD files?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Per 1-02.2 of the Special Provisions, CAD files are not available. Vectorized Plans are available upon request. Refer to addendum #2.

---

**Date:** May 14, 2025

**Question #4:** Is a CAD file available to utilize for takeoff of excavation quantities?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Per 1-02.2 of the Special Provisions, CAD files are not available.

---

---

**Date:** May 15, 2025  
**Question #5:** Can you provide CAD files or higher quality PDF files? The rasterized PDFs from BXWA are difficult to perform takeoffs on.  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** Per 1-02.2 of the Special Provisions, CAD files are not available.

---

**Date:** May 15, 2025  
**Question #6:** Would it be possible to get civil 3d cad files or vector data pdfs?  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** Per 1-02.2 of the Special Provisions, CAD files are not available. Vectorized Plans are available upon request. Refer to addendum #2.

---

**Date:** May 16, 2025  
**Question #7:** Specification & Plan set issued are not searchable or vectorizable and appeared to have been flattened PDF file type. Will Clark County Release the spec/plan set in a standard PDF version in order to make it vectorizable?  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** Vectorized Plans are available upon request. Refer to addendum #2.

---

**Date:** May 16, 2025  
**Question #8:** Does the BABA (Buy America, Buy American) specs apply to the Schedule B & Schedule C?  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** The entire project is subject BABA specs, refer to 1-06 in the Special Provisions for more details.

---

**Date:** May 16, 2025  
**Question #9:** Can searchable PDF documents be made available?  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** Vectorized Plans are available upon request. Refer to addendum #2.

---

**Date:** May 19, 2025  
**Question #10:** Section 06-10 of the special provisions calls out "On the inside face of each concrete bridge barrier a concrete motif is to be constructed. This consists of recessed portions of the concrete along with pigmented color in the concrete as shown in the plans." Is this pigment a pigmented sealer, as an integral color would be next to impossible to accomplish?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Pigmented color for bridge barrier motif is intended to be a pigmented sealer.

---

**Date:** May 19, 2025

**Question #11:** The site preparation plans call out which utilities will be relocated, but it does not show where they are being relocated. Is it safe to assume that all overhead wires will be removed to allow for safe setting of the girders with a large crane?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** CPU relocation plans are posted for review.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #12:** Sheets WB17 & SB17 shown the deck & reinforcement layouts. They appear to show the deck extending about 1' 0" beyond the centerlines of the piers. WB05 & SB05 show the deck extending 3' 0" beyond the centerlines of the piers. Which is accurate?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Concrete deck and reinforcement shall extend to 3'-0" (minus clear cover for reinforcement) beyond the centerline of the piers as detailed on sheet WB05 and SB05.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #13:** Using the page scale, we get about 729 SY for bid item 47, which lists a quantity of 700 SY. While trying to manually scale the drawing, based upon given information, we are unable to get a dependable set of dimensions (especially at the north approach). Can you double check these dimensions and the bid item quantity?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** The correct quantity is 700 SY. Stations and offsets provided on sheet SB18 are correct but there is a small scaling error which will be updated in a forthcoming addendum.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #14:** Using the page scale on SB18, we get about 729 SY for bid item 47, which lists a quantity of 700 SY. While trying to manually scale the drawing, based upon given information, we are unable to get a dependable set of dimensions (especially at the north approach). Can you double check these dimensions and the bid item quantity?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** The correct quantity is 700 SY. Stations and offsets provided on

sheet SB18 are correct but there is a small scaling error which will be updated in a forthcoming addendum.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #15:** On Sheet WB01, after setting the scale to 1" = 10' 0", and take a dimension from pier 1 centerline to pier 2 centerline at the bridge elevation, I get the dimension shown (59' 4-3/16"). When I take the same dimension on the plan view, I get about 56' 11". When I take dimension from pier 1 centerline to pier 2 centerline perpendicular to the piers, I get an dimension of about 49' 10-1/2". Per WB09, pier 1 centerline to pier 2 centerline is 52' 0". Which is correct?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** The dimension of 59' 4-3/16" is correct. There is a small scaling error in the plan view which will be updated in a forthcoming addendum.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #16:** On sheet WB-01, dimensioning perpendicularly from outside face of pedestrian barrier to outside face of pedestrian barrier, I get a dimension of about 81' 6". Per sheet WB16, out to out on the deck is 84' 1" (varies?, it doesn't appear to vary). Which is accurate?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Out to out dimension of the deck is 84'-1" and does not vary.

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #17:** At elevation views on sheets WB01 and SB01, the pedestrian barrier appears to terminate at the back of the pavement seat (end of deck), but the plan views show the barrier extending beyond , but in random amounts? Where does the barrier terminate?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

1. NE 179<sup>th</sup> Street bridge barrier termination points: STA 111+29.55, OFF 42.90'L; STA 112+40.61, OFF 43.10'L; STA 112+19.65, OFF 39.23'R; STA 112+87.74, OFF 41.14'R
2. NE 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue bridge barrier termination points: STA 6+69.92, OFF 34.75'L; STA 7+98.70, OFF 43.96'L; STA 6+30.52, OFF 31.72'R; STA 7+23.28, OFF 35.57'R

---

**Date:** May 20, 2025

**Question #18:** On sheet WB-01, at the NW corner of the bridge, the pedestrian barrier extends off the deck to the west. Are we supposed to use detail D/WB22 for the footing of the barrier after it passes the edge

of the deck? Does this occur at any other locations?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

Correct, detail D/WB22 should be used for the footing of the barrier after it passes the edge of the deck. This will occur at the northwest and southeast corner of the 179<sup>th</sup> Street bridge and the northwest corner of the 29<sup>th</sup> Avenue bridge (3 locations total). Revised WB01 and SB01 will be provided and will include stations and offsets for barrier termination in a forthcoming addendum.

---

**Date:**

May 20, 2025

**Question #19:**

On various pages throughout the drawings (PP drawings, bridge plans and approach slab plans etc., medians are shown on the bridge decks and approach slabs. In the deck sections (WB16 & SB16), these medians are shown as one solid island. On the approach slab plans it shows lines indicating a temporary median, and a permanent median. Can you provide a cross section through one of the medians, so we can better understand what is happening here?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

Medians shown on the bridge and approach slabs to be constructed per detail shown on WB16 and SB16 (Interim Median Reinforcement Detail).

---

**Date:**

May 21, 2025

**Question #20:**

High Water or where is the OHW identified on the plans? (Plan Sheets SP2, SP5 & SP7 show the line labeled WB)

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

WB line indicates wetland boundary and is different than the OWH line. Ordinary High Water is identified in the hydraulic plans (starting at sheet HP1).

---

**Date:**

May 21, 2025

**Question #21:**

Which bid item includes the concrete for the median on the bridge deck and approach slabs?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:**

May 22, 2025

**Question #22:**

After soliciting subs and suppliers, as well as solidifying take off and understanding bid quantities, it has become apparent that to maximize the value to the tax payer and the general public, we

need some additional time. The high DBE requirement is forcing us to expand our reach to solicit as many responsible DBE firms as we can. This is also a complicated project so Johansen respectfully requests at least an additional week to prepare its bid, two weeks would be even better. Thank you.

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Bid in accordance with the contract.

---

**Date:** May 22, 2025

**Question #23:** It appears there is no provided Bid Bond Form for this project. Just wanting to double check if this was your intent or if you plan on issuing one?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Clark County does not issue a standardized Bid Bond Form.

---

**Date:** May 22, 2025

**Question #24:** Sheet LPD-8 shows the bridge motif for the inside face of the concrete barrier. Are both the windmill and wave feature recessed 3/4", or just the windmill? The elevation shows a light gray color, and is labeled "Dark Gray Finish", and a dark gray color labeled "Light Gray Finish". Are these notes reversed?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 22, 2025

**Question #25:** Bid item no. 19 Decommission Water Well has quantity of 1 Lump Sum. Special Provision 2-02.4 says Measurement will be per each, as does the Payment specification. Should the be item be changed to a unit price item per the Special provisions?

**Reference:** bid item 19

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 22, 2025

**Question #26:** Special Provision 1-08.5 page 73 allows for 480 working days. The specification says "the Contractor shall also meet the following dates:" .....the dates for the listed stages do not allow for 480 working days. Can the dates for each stage be revised to allow for the full 480 working days and also can the dates be adjusted to meet the current bid date and expected notice to proceed date (stage 1A starts before the bid date)?

**Reference:** 1-08.5

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

---

**Date:** May 22, 2025  
**Question #27:** Section 1-08.5 of Specials shows Stage 1A from June 1, 2025 to September 1, 2025. Given the current bid date of June 3, 2025, notice to proceed most likely will not be until July 15, 2025. Stage 1 cannot start until utility work is complete per drawing 7/303. 90 days are to be allowed for Utilities. If stage 1A starts July 15 and ends 90 days later on October 15, 2025, how can the in-stream stream improvement work in Stage 1 be completed between the July 1 and September 30 HPA time frame?  
**Reference:** 1-08.5 and 1-07.5(2)  
**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 24, 2025  
**Question #28:** 1. Are the callouts/notes on Sheets 229 and 230 conflicting regarding the minimum length of geogrid reinforcement for Type 2 walls? One shows 6' min, the other shows 8'  
2. Regarding the 6 degree batter called out for Type 2 walls ? Is that a minimum batter, maximum batter, or an exact requirement?  
3. What is the last date for questions?  
**Reference:** Plan sheets 220 - 233  
**Answer:** 1. Minimum length of geogrid reinforcement shall be 6'-0"  
2. Wall batter to be 6 degrees +/- permitted construction tolerances outlined in specs.  
3. Questions may be submitted up to bid date.

---

**Date:** May 24, 2025  
**Question #29:** plan sheet 163 bridge1 and 189 bridge2 require min tip elev. of 150.0 and 160.0. Bore logs show troutdale encountered 173.9 and 188.3 respectively. Piling are req'd to be driven minimum 10 ft into troutdale. If bores are accurate, piles are effectively req'd to be driven 23.9' and 28.3' into troutdale. Please consider raising min tip req'd elevation or remove "preboring, spudding or jetting" from the Normal means listed in 6-05.3(11)D or add a preboring bid item if elev 150/160 are needed.  
**Reference:** 6-05.3(11)D  
**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 24, 2025  
**Question #30:** can typical section sheets through each of the four bridge end panels be provided? need to see interface between end panel and curb/sidewalk. Can beginning and ending stations for the Single slope barrier (pedestrian barrier) for each bridge be provided? The bid item lengths for BP rail and Barrier don't seem to match.

**Reference:** Bridge no. 1 appears to show extended single slope barrier in the NW corner? What is the detail for this barrier as it appears to be on the ground (not on end panel)?  
**Answer:** bid items 57 to 60 and 47,48  
1. See forthcoming addendum #3.  
2.Barriers extending beyond bridge are to be constructed as shown on detail D of sheet 182 of the contract plans.

---

**Date:** May 25, 2025  
**Question #31:** Is the Interim Median shown on top of the bridge deck and bridge approach slabs considered as part of the Superstructure and/or Bridge Approach slab bid items or is it part of item 42 cement concrete Pavement Splitter Island or bid item 44 Pigmented Cement Concrete Pavement? What class of concrete is the Pigmented Cement Concrete to be used in the Interim Median? What are the station limits of the permanent median (length/width)?  
**Reference:** plan sheets 176 and 202 of 303  
**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 26, 2025  
**Question #32:** drawings 61 and 187 indicate temporary Median on the north approach slab near Sta 8+23. However, drawing 204 only shows temp median at the south end of the north slab detail. It does not show the temporary median at the north end on the "North Approach Slab Plan". Should additional temporary median be added to the North approach slab plan?  
**Reference:** drawing sheets 61, 187 and 204  
**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 27, 2025  
**Question #33:** Is there flexibility in the schedule to allow for work below the Ordinary High Water Level in Summer 2026 given work will not be able to start by July 1st, 2025?  
**Reference:** 1-07.5(2) State Dept of Fish and Wildlife -- Work Below Ordinary High Water Line on July 1st and must complete all the Work by September 30th, 2025.  
**Answer:** Work below the ordinary high water line is to be completed during stage 1.

---

**Date:** May 28, 2025  
**Question #34:** Sheet HP1 -the OHW appears to end at South side of the proposed



**Reference:** bridge on NE 179th, does the OHW extend North of 179th Street?  
1-07.5(2) State Dept of Fish and Wildlife -- Work Below Ordinary  
High Water Line on July 1st and must complete all the Work by  
September 30th, 2025.

**Answer:**

---

**Date:** May 28, 2025

**Question #35:** Please address the timing for Stages 1 and 1A in order to allow  
sufficient time for existing utilities to be relocated and for work in  
conflict with the existing utilities.

**Reference:** Spec 1-08.5 Time for Completion - Stage 1A June 1 2025 to Sept 1  
2025

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:** May 28, 2025

**Question #36:** Can the bid unit of measure be changed from Cubic Yards to Tons  
for ease of measurement?

**Reference:** Bid Items 70 - 72 Gravel Borrow for Structural Earth Walls

**Answer:** Bid in accordance with the contract.

---

**Date:** May 28, 2025

**Question #37:** The pay limit for Walls 4,5,6,7 are shown on Sheet 229 as extending  
from finish grade to top of wall. Could the pay limits be changed to  
include the total wall height? It appears the bid item quantities  
include the total square footage of wall. If we include the cost of  
materials below finish grade in the bid item and the quantity goes  
down, we won't be fully compensated for our work.

**Reference:** Plan Sheet 229

**Answer:** See forthcoming addendum #3.

---

**Date:**

**Question #38:** The bridge plans don't show the intersection of the sidewalk and  
the bridge. The approach slab width appears to not cover the  
sidewalk area (typically approach slab covers edge of bridge to  
edge of bridge). Can a section be added in this area. Does the end  
wall seat continue in this area what about compression seal? The  
approach slab and barrier drawings are confusing. They indicate a  
barrier poured on the approach slabs; they also indicate a concrete  
paved road coming into the approach slab. The roadway sections  
did not help determine this. The sections don't show the approach  
or bridge stationing. The sections in these areas show a typical  
section. The special provisions (6-02.4) doesn't list class 4000  
concrete in the superstructures. Where is this paid. Are the  
sidewalk and median on the bridge intended to be paid in the

superstructure (they are class 4000)? There are also diaphragms that are typically class 4000. Sidewalk and median off the bridge would need to be paid elsewhere because they are not in the definition of superstructure. Also please provide a width of the end diaphragms. Note (like on sheet SB07) says to extend them. There are two different sections of single slope barrier. Please provide a stationing or a length on these. At least provide a length on the section that has a footing.

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

See addendum #3

Correct, approach slab width terminates at edge of roadway. Compression seal to continue to edge of sidewalk/barrier. For barriers that extend beyond the end of the bridge, detail D on sheet WB22 is to be followed.

---

**Date:**

**Question #39:**

Sheet HP1 – the OHW appears to end at South side of the proposed bridge on NE 179<sup>th</sup>. Does the OHW extend North of 179<sup>th</sup> Street?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

The OHW shown on sheet HP1 is correct. Upstream of the proposed bridge is considered wetlands.

---

**Date:**

**Question #40:**

May 30, 2025

1. Is it necessary to install the “lid” on the gabion baskets considering that rock material atop the basket is homogeneous with underlying material in the basket below?

2. Can the 3’ wide cell limit be expanded since the wire materials are rigidly welded instead of twisted?.

3. Is the minimum wire gauge (thickness) specified? Is #4 wire, accepted by WSDOT, adequate?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

Plan sheets 220 - 233

1. “Lid” on gabion baskets is required.

2. The width can be expanded if stability of the basket is comparable to a 3 foot wide cell.

3. WSDOT Standard Specifications 9-27.3(2) requires 0.106 diameter galvanized steel wire when welded wire baskets are used. Twisted wire is not allowed.

---

---

**Date:** May 30, 2025  
**Question #41:** Drain Pipe Detail - See Plans for Locations

The detail calls out for under drain pipe, where are these shown on the plans? We were unable to find any call out on the Retaining Wall Plans or on the Drainage Plans.

**Reference:** Sheet RT12 - Retaining Walls - Wall Type 3 Details -  
**Answer:** Wall drain connection points for Wall Types 1 and 2 are called out in the drainage plans DP3, DP4, and DP7. Drain pipe for Wall Type 3 is to be located at the back of the geogrid reinforcing and provide outlets to daylight at 50 foot intervals.

---

**Date:** May 30, 2025  
**Question #42:** Please provide measurement & payment for interim median.  
**Reference:** Plan pages 176 & 202  
**Answer:** The interim median (splitter island) concrete that is located over the bridge deck and the approach slabs are to be incidental and included in the bid item "Superstructure\_\_\_\_\_". This includes the concrete, steel reinforcing, visqueen, concrete inserts and all-thread rods. Approximate quantities are 71 CY and 50 CY.

---

**Date:** May 30, 2025  
**Question #43:** Plan sheet 234 of 303, RT14 indicates for the Coated Chain Link Fence Type 4 Modified, that all of the fence posts are 3" Nom Sch 40. Although this size of pipe is available, it is not a standard size for fence materials, there fore there are no fittings that will work correctly with that size. WSDOT Std. Plans for Type 4 Fence utilize 2 3/8" line Posts and 2 7/8" End, Corner and Pull Posts. Please verify if the intention is to deviate from Std. Plan.  
**Reference:**  
**Answer:** Correct, the intention is to deviate from the Standard Plan and use the larger diameter pipe for all fence posts.

---

**Date:** May 30, 2025  
**Question #44:** Detail 5/LPD-5 shows a CMU wall with a max height of 42" that runs a little over halfway around the roundabout. The drilled pier layout on LPD-7 shows elevations for each of the drilled piers. How

can the wall elevation change if all the piers stay at the same elevation? Can you provide a cross section or elevation that shows how the wall/header/windmill fins and grading are configured?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** Grading is shown on sheet SWPP3.

---

**Date:** June 1, 2025

**Question #45:** The answers to questions 21, 31 and 38 cite "see forthcoming add.#3". I'm not seeing the answer in add. #3. What class of conc is the median and temp. median and is it pigmented? Is the entire quantity of median and temp median concrete included in the Superstructure items? pg 122 specials only shows conc class 4000D. Question 38 is asking if the diaphragms, sidewalk and median slabs are class 4000? Assume note 7 on sht WB01 says only Deck is 4000D and all other is 4000 is the answer?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** The interim median concrete is to be Class 4000 and not pigmented, and is 71 CY for Bridge 1 and 50 CY for Bridge 2, in addition to the items noted for superstructure. Diaphragms and sidewalks are Class 4000.

---

**Date:** May 31, 2025

**Question #46:** Addendum no. 3 changes the start date of Stage 1A to match the start date of Stage 1 which is August 15, 2025. The apparent effect of this change is to jam the Utility relocation work on top of the Stage 1 work. If Clearing can't start till August 15 and the HPA is July 1 to September 30, that leaves less than 45 days to construct all of the in-stream work. Can you please reconsider your answer to question #33 and commit Clark County to getting an in-stream work window in 2026?

**Reference:**

**Answer:** At this time, the current environmental permit issued for the project enables construction activities within the stream area until September 30, 2025. Based on previous construction projects, depending on the extent of work completed and weather conditions being experienced near the end of September, the County may have an opportunity to submit a request to the environmental permitting agencies to extend the in-water work window until October 31, 2025. No additional information is available at this time.

---

**Date:** June 2, 2025

**Question #47:** The updated Q&A gives an answer for Question 21 (Which bid item includes the concrete for the median on the bridge deck and

approach slabs?) of "see forthcoming addendum #3". I cannot find the answer to that question in the addendum. Could you point me to where I can find the information?

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

The interim median (splitter island) concrete that is located over the bridge deck and the approach slabs are to be incidental and included in the bid item "Superstructure\_\_\_\_". This includes the concrete, steel reinforcing, visqueen, concrete inserts and all-thread rods.

---

**Date:**

**June 2, 2025**

**Question #48:**

Is there special provisions released that shows specifications and clarification of Galvanization for hardware/steel associated with bridge utility supports? It is not shown in contract drawings or attached specifications.

**Reference:**

**Answer:**

Galvanize in accordance with 9-00.7 of the Standard Specifications.

---