

Clark County Public Works

Bid Inquiry Log

Last Update: September 22, 2025

Responses will be posted on the project's "Bid Inquiry Log", which will be updated twice daily, at noon and 6:00 PM. The Bid Inquiry log will display all questions and answers to the questions that have accumulated by the posting time. Questions too late to be answered as of that posting will remain unanswered. The questions and answers posted on the Bid Inquiry Log at that time will be considered part of the contract and ranked as an Addendum with respect to order of precedence under Section 1-04.2 of the Standard Specification.

Project PRJ/CRP #:	0000586 (301022)
Title:	NE 152nd Avenue
Engineer In Charge:	Jim Pritchard

Date: September 5, 2025

Question #1: 1 - There are several provisional sum items in the proposal which are missing a provisional value. BI #7, #21, #26 & #118 all have a UoM CALC and should have a \$ amount associated. Please advise what that figure should be.

2 - Will there be a pre-bid meeting scheduled for the project?

Reference:

Answer: 1. See forthcoming addendum.
2. This project will not have a pre-bid meeting

Date: September 9, 2025

Question #2: The Infiltration Trench detail on DD12 shows that the top of the drain rock section is 12" above the crown of the 12" UD pipe. The Typical section on TS1-TS3 shows that the top of the drain rock section is at the bottom of the CSBC section, (subgrade of the road). Please clarify which is correct.

Reference:

Answer: The infiltration trenches shown on TS1-TS3 are a generalized indication that the infiltration trench, including Pre-Approved Native Backfill on top of the drain rock, is located at those stations. The detail on sheet DD12 is correct. The depth to the top of the infiltration trench varies throughout the project but there will always be a layer of pre-approved native backfill between the trench and the CSBC.

Date: September 10, 2025

Question #3:

1. Is Construction Staking and Surveying by the Owner or Contractor? If by contractor please consider adding a bid item.
2. Please confirm the owner will provide compaction testing for this project.
3. Please confirm Clark County and City of Vancouver will provide Right of Way Permits for the Project.

Reference:

Answer:

1. Per spec section 1-05.4 - Construction Survey will be by the Contracting Agency.
2. Per spec section 1-05.7 – The contractor is responsible for quality control to identify all nonconforming work and ensure work meets the requirements of the contract documents. The County will be contracting with a third party testing company to complete quality assurance testing.
3. The County has an approved WSDOT ROW permit for the work impacting SR 500 at the south end of the project. All other work is within the County ROW.

Date: September 10, 2025

Question #4:

1. Please confirm the County will provide the Temporary Erosion and Sediment Control Plan or SWPPP Plan.
2. Please provide a copy of Temporary Construction Easement Agreements that involve any work to be performed by the contractor.
3. Is an NPDES permit required for this project?

Reference:

Answer:

1. The abbreviated SWPPP will be in the permit binder.
2. Bid the contract documents. Temporary Construction Easement Agreements are not binding for the contractor. Work listed in the agreements has been incorporated into the contract documents.
3. No NPDES on this project. Stormwater was fully infiltrated, so there's no surface water nexus to require an NPDES.

Date: September 12, 2025

Question #5:

Please verify the bid item quantity for BI #73 Detectable Warning Surface. 20 SF is insufficient for the H/C ramps.

Reference:

Answer:

Per spec section 8-14.5 – Detectable warning surfaces (DWS) are incidental to the ramps. The 20 SQFT DWS in BI #72 is for the pedestrian refuge island (85th/86th).

Date: September 15, 2025
Question #6: It appears that the majority of the work including concrete and paving shall be completed within each of the 5 work zones before moving on to the next work zone, this will require separate mobilizations across all trades and will add costs especially with concrete and paving costs can you please confirm this is necessary or can multiple zones be allowed to be open?
Reference:
Answer: Complete work in each work zone per spec section 1-07.23(1).

Date: September 16, 2025
Question #7: The Field office building states a "potable water service" as one of the requirements, can a standard portable water cooler drinking station be provided instead or will this required to be hard plumbed/piped?
Reference: 8-23.1
Answer: Hard plumbing/piping is not required for the field office.

Date: September 16, 2025
Question #8: With the newer state apprenticeship requirements across all trades, can you please provide clarification to what the project apprentice requirements will be?
Reference:
Answer: This is a federal project; state apprenticeship requirements do not apply.

Date: September 17, 2025
Question #9: Adding to question #6. Is it going to be allowed to complete base in each zone then top lift the project in its entirety?
Reference:
Answer: The intent of spec section 1-07.23(1) is to place the base lift of HMA in each zone and then come back through to place the top lift of HMA for the entire project to reduce the number of joints and patches in the top lift.

Date: September 17, 2025
Question #10: Is base rock incidental to cement concrete sidewalks, ramps, driveways or paid under Bid Item # 22 Crushed Surfacing Base Course?
Reference: Division 8
Answer: Per division 8 of the specs - CSBC is a separate bid item and not included in the other bid items EXCEPT DRIVEWAYS, which it's included in the S.Y. payment.

Date: September 17, 2025
Question #11: There appear to be approximately 93 Trees/shrubs marked for removal vs the 13 each called out to be paid under Removal of Obstructions should this quantity be revised or where will the additional tree/shrub removal be paid?
Reference:
Answer: Per spec section 2-02.3 – If the trees are within the clearing limits it's part of the Clearing and Grubbing bid item. Trees outside of the clearing limits are defined under the removal of structures and obstructions.

Date: September 17, 2025
Question #12: Can the MTD/MTV requirement for paving/HMA be removed from this contract completely?
Reference: 5-04.3(3)D
Answer: The MTD/MTV is required for the 0.20 ft top lift. The MTD/MTV is not required for the base lift.

Date: September 17, 2025
Question #13: Can you please provide clarification on where the 140 LF of Hedges removal is shown on the plans as called out in the Removal of Structures and Obstructions schedule?
Reference: Sheet SP5 and SP6.
Answer: Shrubs to be removed are shown on Sheet SP5 Juhl parcel and Sheet SP6 Rivera/Perry parcel.

Date: September 18, 2025
Question #14:
1. Bid Item #76 Mailbox Support, Type CMU. Is this supposed to be CBU or CMU? If CMU please provide a detail for construction.
2. The Flagger and Traffic Control labor hours seem high for Schedule C and Low for Schedule D. Please verify
Reference:
Answer:
1. This should be CMU (Cluster Mailbox Unit). A forthcoming addendum will revise the spec to align with the drawings. The County does not provide details for the CMU, follow the manufacturer's installation instructions.
2. The County has reviewed the Flagger and Traffic control labor hours for schedule C and D and will be issuing a forthcoming addendum with revised hours.

Date: September 18, 2025
Question #15: Can the bid date please be extended to allow for more time to bid the project, as well as to bidders and subcontractors address any upcoming Q&A on the project?
Reference:
Answer: The bid date for this project will not be extended.

Date: September 18, 2025
Question #16: 1. 260 Working Day contract divided by 5 road work / closure areas = 52 days per Work Area which is tight. Can more contract time be granted beings this project is to be constructed in small separate sections?

2. Will the Contractor be required to flag for the Utility Companies (CPU Electric, Comcast, Lumen) Relocation Work or will this flagging be by others?
Reference:
Answer: 1. Working days will not be revised.
2. The contractor is not required to flag for the utility companies. CPU and Comcast are in progress of relocating their utilities and will have the majority of their utilities relocated before the start of the project. Lumen will follow behind with their relocates.

Date: September 18, 2025
Question #17: There is a discrepancy between the bid items referenced in some of the bid inquiry questions and the latest addendum. Please clarify.
Reference:
Answer: Bid items in the proposal were added/deleted in Addendum 1. Bidders should ensure they are working with the latest addendum to avoid disqualification.

Date: September 19, 2025
Question #18: Can you please provide clarification where Item 89 - Field Office Building is to be placed on the project site so that correct calculations can be made for obtaining power, and placement?
Reference:
Answer: The location of the field office is not specified in the contract documents. The field office is typically set up where contractor determines to have their staging yard or close proximity to the project site.

Date: September 19, 2025

Question #19: There appear to be approximately 93 Trees/shrubs marked for removal vs the 13 each called out to be paid under Removal of Obstructions should this quantity be revised or where will the additional tree/shrub removal be paid?

Reference: Page 87 Section 2-02 Removal of Structures and Obstructions

Answer: This question was previously answered in question #11
Per spec section 2-02.3 – If the trees are within the clearing limits it's part of the Clearing and Grubbing bid item. Trees outside of the clearing limits are defined under the removal of structures and obstructions.

Date:

Question #20:

Reference:

Answer:
