Clark County Public Works

Bid Inquiry Log

Last Update: October 9, 2025

Responses will be posted on the project's "Bid Inquiry Log", which will be updated twice daily, at noon and 6:00 PM. The Bid Inquiry log will display all questions and answers to the questions that have accumulated by the posting time. Questions too late to be answered as of that posting will remain unanswered. The questions and answers posted on the Bid Inquiry Log at that time will be considered part of the contract and ranked as an Addendum with respect to order of precedence under Section 1-04.2 of the Standard Specification.

Project CRP #: PRJ0001796 (CRP 320622)

Title: NE St. Johns Road Pavement Improvements - (NE 68th Street -

NE 78th Street)

Engineer In Charge: Bart Arthur

Date: September 23, 2025

Question #1: Can you clarify which existing CCP Transvers and Longitudinal

Joints require sealant in bid item 25? They are not identified on

the drawings.

Reference: Bid Item 25. Spec Section 5-03

Answer: Please refer to note 6 on sheet S1. When finished replacing all the

panels all longitudinal and selected transverse joints will be cleaned and sealed. Answer modified and addendum #2 issued.

Date: September 24. 2025

Question #2: 1. I don't see a bid item for removal of existing roadway

striping. Is all existing striping to remain and be striped over? If there is removal of striping please consider adding a

bid item.

2. Please confirm the County will provide construction staking including layout out all concrete roadway panel

removal limits.

3. Please confirm the County is providing compaction and

concrete mix testing.

Reference: Not Identified by inquiry

Answer: In order as asking

1 Existing striping maybe left and painted over.

2 The County will provide surveying and basic layout

3 The County will provide compaction and Concrete testing

Date: September 24, 2025

Question #3: Should there be a bid item for Apprenticeship Utilization per

RCW 39.04.320?

Reference: Not identified by inquiry

Answer: No, The project is federally funded

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #4: Is Crushed Surfacing Base or Top Course under Sidewalks and

curb ramps paid under Bid Item #20 CSBC?

Reference: Not identified in the inquiry

Answer: Yes

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #5: Can Clark County provide more information regarding lane

restrictions? The specifications state the hours allowed for work, but do not specify when lanes may be closed and if they must

remain open outside of typical closure hours.

Reference: Not identified in the inquiry

Answer: See Addendum 2 for the clarification.

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #6: Does Clark County intend to use a rapid-set concrete to allow for

lanes to be opened to traffic daily at the end of shift?

Reference: Not identified in inquiry

Answer: No, but rapid set is not prohibited

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #7: Does Clark County have specific timelines in mind for the

working days/dates work is allowed for each phase of

construction?

Reference: Not identified in inquiry

Answer: No

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #8: Would Clark County consider allowing edits to the phasing? In

general, we think it may be more efficient to complete all sidewalk and curb construction where possible, then fall back and complete panel replacements. This may depend on Clark County's intention for lane closure restrictions, and how long

Clark County intends for work in each phase to last.

Reference: Not identified in inquiry

Answer: Clark County would consider alternate phasing under a CRIP.

Bids will be understood to comply with the plans and

specifications as they are.

Date: September 25, 2025

Question #9: What date does the County anticipate for notice to proceed?

Given a bid date of October 7th and an estimated contract execution date of November 21st, that would place the approx. 4 months (80 working days) of work during December, January,

February and March. Does the County anticipate a work

suspension to allow for the work to start during more favorable

weather months?

Reference: 1-08.5 time for completion

Answer: No

Date: September 29, 2025

Question #10: Are there as-builts available? If not, how did Clark County

determine the "panel depths are approximately 0.58'." contained

in note #24 drawing RS1 and RS2?

Reference: plans and specifications

Answer: As-builts posted to builder exchange

Date: September 29, 2025

Question #11: Note 1 on sheet S1 and S2 says if we encounter a panel depth less

than 0.58' that the panel "shall be replaced with a 0.58' depth panel, additional excavation and crushed surfacing to achieve full depth panel and maintain rock section will be included wit the

panel replacement".

Since there is no estimate of how many panels are to be included

in the bid, it would make more sense to make this extra

excavation and rock as part of a force account contingency item,

thereby giving the lowest price

Reference: note 24 sheet RS1, note 1 sheet S1 and S2

Answer: The proposal contains bid item 23 with an Est. unit

Date: September 29, 2025

Question #12: Note 24 sheet RS1 says to "match existing panel depth....." it goes

on to say replace a panel less than 0.58 deep with 0.58 deep panel. What if the existing panel depth is greater than 0.58'? Should Section 5-01 be modified to state that additional depth over 0.58' will be compensated by prorating the SY price? If not, then how

much contingency depth/contingency area (SY) should

Contractors carry in their bid?

Reference: note 24 on sheet RS1 and RS2

Answer: The proposal contains bid item 23 with an Est. unit

Date: September 29, 2025

Question #13: With regard to question #1 and its answer....Note 5 sheet S1 says

"sawcut and seal all REPLACEMENT panel transvers jts per A40.15. Note 6 says "existing LONGITUDINAL project wide shall be sawn, cleaned and sealed per detail RD4. Project length is 3,657 lf X 3 joint lines = 10,971 Lf which matches bid item no

25 quantity.

should bid item 25 be renamed to delete all of the existing

transverse joints (245 ea X 56'= 13,720 lf)?

should you delete the repl panel longitudinal jts from BI quan?

Reference: Inquiry log question #1 answer

Answer: See Addendum 2

Date: September 29, 2025

Question #14: continuation of previous question...

the longitudinal and transverse joints on the replacement panels

will be per A-60 and then referred to A-40. This is a

DIFFERENT sized joint than the the callout on RD4 for the

existing Longitudinal joints project wide. Should the Longitudinal and Transverse joints associated with the

replacement panels be INCIDENTAL to the replacement panel bid item and bid item 25 only be LONGITUDINAL JOINTS for

the whole project not including incidental jts for panels

Reference: Inquiry log question #1 answer continued

Answer: See addendum 2

Date: September 29, 2025

Ouestion #15: What is Bid Item 27, Epoxy-Coated St. Reinf. Bar, 225 lb for?

Reference: Proposal Form

Answer: Those are for the curb ties on sheet RD3 where we have

monolithic sidewalk installed over the panels.

Date: October 2, 2025

Question #16: Note #38 on sheets 8 & 10 show new irregular shaped panels that

do not appear to be included in the list of panels on sheet 6 or in the total bid item #21 quantity. Should these panels be added to

the panel list and in the bid quantity?

Reference: drawing sheets 8, 10

Answer: This work will be paid under the appropriate bid items and

quantities.

Date: October 3, 2025

Question #17: Stage IV shown on sheet 48 does not appear to have a

corresponding "additional traffic control" detail sheet callout on sheets TC-2 thru TC-10. Should Stage IV be included on sheet

TC-04 (sht 51)? Or is there a different traffic control

configuration for Stage IV?

Reference:

traffic control sheets 48 & 51

Answer:

TCP depicted on sheet 51 could be used in on Stage IV.

Date:

October 3, 2025

Question #18:

For Stage X on TC-06--Can the County provide clarification on Note 1 which says to construct stage 10 during weekends. 1. Is there an expectation that stage X is constructed in one weekend such that traffic is driving over the new panel 9:00 am Monday morning? Or is an excavated open hole is plated over so cars can drive over Monday morning, with slab placement the

next weekend?

2. Is there an expectation the the panel concrete reach 2,500 psi

within the 60 hr closure weekend?

Reference:

Answer:

sheet TC-06, 53 of 62

- 1) Per the plans/specs, traffic control for stage 10 needs to be removed by Monday at 5 am. If plating can be installed per specifications, there is not any reason you cannot plate.
- 2) Yes, per the specifications the concrete must test at 2500psi before being opened to traffic.

Date:

October 3, 2025

Question #19:

Regarding 1-08.5 special provisions that allows for 80 working days for project completion. There does not appear to be enough time to construct 14 stages given the amount of time to setup traffic cntl, demo, place, cure, rem traffic control each stage.

Please consider adding 35 additional working days.

If time cannot be added, then additional traf cntl needs to be added to allow for essentially all of NB then all of SB (or some combo) that puts the max # of panels under const at one time 1-08.5

Reference:

Answer:

The staging shown in the traffic control plans is for dividing the

work zones into areas where traffic control can be placed using the traffic control plans and details provided. There are no restrictions on work being performed in more than one stage at a

time. Multiple stages can be worked on as long as the

requirements of the specifications are met such as keeping one lane open in each direction, and following the traffic control

plans at intersections and for lane closures as shown.

Date: Question #20:	October 7, 2025 Note #24 on Plan Sheet RS1 notes replacement panels are to be .58' depth concrete.
	WSDOT Standard Plan A-40.10-04 (on plan Sheet RD3) calls for 12" thick concrete roadway.
	Please confirm replacement panels are to be .58" depth concrete except 10" thick at centerline per plan sheet RS1.
Reference: Answer:	See question Yes, 0.58" thick except 10" at the centerline as shown. The 12" is on HMA transitions not applicable to this project.
Date: Question #21: Reference: Answer:	