Public Hearing:
HST2020-00001
Summit Grove Lodge —
Certificate of Appropriateness

Public Hearing Agenda

- 1. Public hearing opened
- Commission members announce ex parte contacts and conflicts of interest
- 3. Staff report
- 4. Questions of staff by commission
- 5. Presentation by applicant
- 6. Questions of applicant
- 7. Public comment
- 8. Public hearing closed
- 9. Commission deliberation
- 10. Commission make a motion and roll call vote



Public Hearing: Certificate of Appropriateness for Storage Shed for Summit Grove Lodge

- 30810 NE Timmen Rd, Ridgefield, WA
- HST 2020-00002
- Clark County Heritage Register (2003)
- Washington State Heritage (2019)





3

Public Hearing: Amend the nomination to the Clark County Heritage Register for Summit Grove Lodge

- Completed in 1927 by William and Mary Marshall.
- Original auto park was 15 acres of park land and other buildings.
- Only the main lodge remains intact on a 4.47 acre site. The lodge has continued to be in use since 1927.
- Only remaining example in Clark
 County of the rustic style and
 "Naturalism" movement of the early
 20th century tied to auto tourism.





Public Hearing: Certificate of Appropriateness for Storage Shed for Summit Grove Lodge

- 22 x 26 foot one-story storage shed located behind the lodge, not visible from the main street.
- Asphalt shingle roof matching the historic lodge roofing by type and color.
- Exterior walls will be board and batten to match the back of the adjacent lodge.



1. Every reasonable effort shall be made to provide a compatible use for a property which requires minimal alteration of the building, structure or site and its environment, or to use a property for its originally intended purposes.

<u>Findings:</u> Construction of a detached, freestanding storage shed, not visible from the main street. Does not propose to remove or alter any historic material or distinctive architectural features on the historic lodge structure.

2. The distinguishing original qualities or character of a building, structure, or site and its environment shall not be destroyed.

<u>Findings:</u> Construction of a detached, freestanding storage shed. Does not propose to remove or alter any historic material or distinctive architectural features on the historic lodge structure.



3. All buildings, structures and sites shall be recognized as products of their own time. Alterations that have no historical basis and which seek to create an earlier appearance shall be discouraged.

<u>Findings:</u> Construction of a detached, freestanding storage shed to be placed behind part of the building that was an addition to the historic structure. The exterior of the storage shed is designed to be compatible with the existing roof and siding materials on the addition. Staff finds that the proposed storage shed meets the criteria based on the information available.

4. Changes which may have taken place in the course of time are evidence of the history and development of a building, structure, or site and its environment. These changes may have acquired significance in their own right and this significance shall be recognized and respected.

<u>Findings:</u> The free-standing storage shed will not remove or alter any historic material or distinctive architectural features on the Lodge structure.



5. Distinctive stylistic features or examples of skilled craftsmanship which characterize a building, structure, or site shall be treated with sensitivity.

Findings: Staff finds that the proposal is treating the historic structure with sensitivity and is not impacting any distinctive stylistic feature or example of skilled craftmanship.

6. Deteriorated architectural features shall be repaired rather than replaced whenever possible.

Findings: N/A



7. The surface cleaning of structures shall be undertaken with the gentlest means possible. Sandblasting and other cleaning methods that will damage the historic building materials shall not be undertaken.

Findings: N/A

8. Every reasonable effort shall be made to protect and preserve archeological resources affected by, or adjacent to any project.

<u>Findings:</u> To staff's knowledge, the shed should not affect any archaeological resources. If an inadvertent discovery is found, it is recommended that work cease, and the applicant contact the Washington Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation for guidance.



9. Contemporary design for alterations and additions to existing properties shall not be discouraged when such alterations and additions do not destroy significant historical, architectural or cultural material, and such design is compatible with the size, scale, color, materials, and character of the property, neighborhood or environment.

<u>Findings:</u> Staff finds that the proposed changes do not destroy any historical, architectural, or cultural materials of the property. The changes seem compatible with the character of the property.

10. Wherever possible, new additions or alterations to structures shall be done in such a manner that if such additions or alterations were to be removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the structure would be unimpaired.

<u>Findings:</u> The small storage shed will not be attached to the existing historic lodge and could be removed in the future without impairing the integrity of the original historic lodge structure.

Thank you

www.clark.wa.gov/planning/historic

Staff contacts:

Jacqui Kamp, <u>jacqui.kamp@clark.wa.gov</u> or 564.397.4913 Sharon Lumbantobing, <u>Sharon@clark.wa.gov</u> or 564.397.4909