

## STAFF REPORT

| TO:      | Board of County Commissioners                           |
|----------|---|
| FROM:    | Oliver Orjiako, Director                                |
| DATE:    | June 18, 2014   |
| SUBJECT: | Suspension of CCC40.560.010 Annual Plan Amendment Cycle |

**BACKGROUND:** The proposed adoption of the Comprehensive Plan 2016 periodic update in the Spring/Summer of 2016 precludes the ability to open the plan up for changes during the annual plan amendment process except for out-of-cycle amendments, if an emergency exists, to resolve an obvious mapping error, an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the court.

In RCW 36.70A.130(2)(a) states that each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city <u>no more frequently than once every year</u>. The annual plan amendment cycle as prescribed in CCC40.560.010 would have the 2015 cycle concluding on January 2016 thus competing with the June 2016 proposed Comprehensive plan cycle. In addition, the 2016 annual amendment cycle would occur less than 6-months from the adoption of the plan.

| 2015-2035 Comprehensive Plan Adoption |                 |         | 06/30/16  |          |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|---------|-----------|----------|
| e                                     |                 | 2013    | 2014      | 2015     |
| Last Cycle                            | Pre-Application | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| st (                                  | Annual Review   |         | Jan - Dec |          |
| La                                    | Adoption        |         |           | 01/01/15 |
|                                       |                 |         |           |          |
| q                                     |                 | 2014    | 2015      | 2016     |
| Suspend                               | Pre-Application | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| nsp                                   | Annual Review   |         | Jan - Dec |          |
| S                                     | Adoption        |         |           | 01/01/16 |
|                                       |                 |         |           |          |
| q                                     |                 | 2015    | 2016      | 2017     |
| neu                                   | Pre-Application | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| Suspend                               | Annual Review   |         | Jan - Dec |          |
|                                       | Adoption        |         |           | 01/01/17 |
|                                       |                 |         |           |          |
| e<br>U                                |                 | 2016    | 2017      | 2018     |
| Cyc                                   | Pre-Application | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| Next Cycle                            | Annual Review   |         | Jan - Dec |          |
| ž                                     | Adoption        |         |           | 01/01/18 |

**RECOMMENDATION AND CONCLUSIONS:** Based upon the information and the findings presented in this report, staff is requesting the Board suspend the 2015 and 2016 annual plan amendment cycle as outlined in CCC40.560.010.

| 1        | RESOLUTION NO. 2014  |
|----------|--|
| 2        | RESOLUTION NO. 2014  |
| 3        | A RESOLUTION relating to the suspension of 2015 and 2016 site-specific annual plan amendment cycle until   |
| 4        | the completion of the county's comprehensive land use plan 2016 periodic update pursuant to RCW  |
| 5        | 36.70A.140.  |
| 6        |  |
| 7        | WHEREAS, Clark County adopted a 20-Year Comprehensive Growth Management Plan through   |
| 8        | ordinances 1994-12-47 and 1994-12-53 on December 20, 1994 to meet the goals and requirements of  |
| 9        | RCW 36.70A (also known as the Growth Management Act "GMA"); and  |
| 10       |  |
| 11       | WHEREAS, Clark County adopted an updated 20-Year Comprehensive Growth Management Plan  |
| 12       | through ordinance 2004-09-02 and 2007-09-13 to meet the goals and requirements of RCW 36.70A; and  |
| 13       |  |
| 14       | WHEREAS, the county is required under RCW 36.70A.130 to take legislative action to review and, if  |
| 15       | needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations by June 30, 2016 to ensure  |
| 16<br>17 | the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter; and   |
| 18       | WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130(3)(a) states that each county that designates urban growth areas   |
| 19       | under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted   |
| 20       | within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area; and  |
| 21       | within both the medipolated and dimedipolated politions of each diban growth area, and   |
| 22       | WHEREAS, the review process required under RCW 36.70A.130(3) began on July 17, 2013, with a  |
| 23       | duly advertised public meeting; and  |
| 24       |  |
| 25       | WHEREAS, the Board adopted Resolution 2014-01-10 Public Participation Plan and Preliminary   |
| 26       | Scoping schedule at a duly advertised public hearing on January 21, 2014 that will be used for the county's  |
| 27       | Comprehensive Plan 2016 periodic update pursuant to RCW 36.70A.140 ; and   |
| 28       |  |
| 29       | WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130(1)(d) states that any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive  |
| 30       | land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall   |
| 31       | be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan; and   |
| 32       |  |
| 33       | WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130 (2)(a) states that each county and city shall establish and broadly  |
| 34       | disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and  |
| 35       | <u>36.70A.140</u> that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or  |
| 36       | revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more   |
| 37       | frequently than once every year; and   |
| 38<br>39 | WHEREAS, RCW 36.70A.130(2)(b) states that except as otherwise provided in (a) of this  |
| 39<br>40 |  |
| 40<br>41 | subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a |
| 42       | county or city may adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this  |
| 43       | chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the  |
| 44       | growth management hearings board or with the court; and  |
| 45       |  |
| 46       |  |
|          |  |

1 WHEREAS, the Board considered the **Suspension of Annual Reviews** at a worksession on June 18, 2 2014; and 3 4 WHEREAS, the Board considered the **Suspension of Annual Reviews** at a duly advertised public 5 hearing on June 24, 2014; and б 7 WHEREAS, the Board took public testimony from interested parties, considered all the written and 8 oral arguments and testimony, and considered all the comments presented to the Board; and 9 10 WHEREAS, the Board finds and concludes that suspending the 2015 and 2016 annual 11 comprehensive plan amendments and accompanying rezone requests will further the public health, safety 12 and welfare; now therefore, 13 14 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS OF CLARK COUNTY, STATE OF 15 WASHINGTON, as follows: 16 17 Section 1. Suspend. Clark County Unified Development Code 40.560.010 for the 2015 and 2016 annual 18 comprehensive plan amendments and accompanying rezone request is suspended and shall not be 19 separately considered during the review of the county's comprehensive plan as shown in Table 1. This

20 action does not preclude out-of-cycle amendments, if an emergency exists, to resolve an obvious mapping error, an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with the growth management hearings board or with the

21

22 court. 23

24

|            |                                       | Table 1 |           |          |
|------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-----------|----------|
|            | 2015-2035 Comprehensive Plan Adoption |         |           | 06/30/16 |
| e          |                                       | 2013    | 2014      | 2015     |
| Cycl       | Pre-Application                       | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| Last Cycle | Annual Review                         |         | Jan - Dec |          |
|            | Adoption                              |         |           | 01/01/15 |
|            |                                       |         |           |          |
| q          |                                       | 2014    | 2015      | 2016     |
| Suspend    | Pre-Application                       | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| Sus        | Annual Review                         |         | Jan - Dec |          |
|            | Adoption                              |         |           | 01/01/16 |
|            |                                       |         |           |          |
| q          |                                       | 2015    | 2016      | 2017     |
| Suspend    | Pre-Application                       | Oct-Dec |           |          |
| Sus        | Annual Review                         |         | Jan - Dec |          |
|            | Adoption                              |         |           | 01/01/17 |
|            |                                       |         |           |          |
| le         |                                       | 2016    | 2017      | 2018     |
| Next Cycle | Pre-Application                       | Oct-Dec |           |          |
|            | Annual Review                         |         | Jan - Dec |          |
| Z          | Adoption                              |         |           | 01/01/18 |

25

26 Section 2. Effective. Upon completion of the comprehensive plan 2016 periodic update process, the annual

27 review process will commence with the 2017 annual comprehensive plan amendments and accompanying

28 rezone requests as outlined in Clark County Unified Development Code 40.560.010.

2014 Resolution Relating to Comprehensive Plan 2016 Periodic Update

| 1<br>2                           | Section 3. Instructions to Clerk. |   |  |  |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 2<br>3<br>4                      | The Clerk to the Board shall:     |   |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6<br>7                      | 1.                                | Transmit a copy of this resolution to the Washington State Department of Commerce within ten days of its adoption pursuant to RCW 36.70A.106.                 |  |  |  |
| 8<br>9                           | 2.                                | Transmit a copy of the ac   | nsmit a copy of the adopted resolution to Community Planning Department Director.                |  |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12                   | 3.                                | Transmit a copy of the adopted resolution to the Cities of Battle Ground, Camas, La Center,<br>Ridgefield, Washougal, Woodland, Vancouver and Town of Yacolt. |  |  |  |
| 13<br>14                         | 4.                                | Record a copy of this reso  | Record a copy of this resolution with the Clark County Auditor.                                  |  |  |
| 15<br>16<br>17                   | 5.                                | Cause notice of adoption 36.70A.290.  | Cause notice of adoption of this resolution to be published forthwith pursuant to RCW 6.70A.290. |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20                   | ADOPT                             | ED this day of June 2   | 014.   |  |  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25<br>26 | Attest:                           |   |  | OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS<br>RK COUNTY, WASHINGTON |  |
| 27                               |                                   |   | Ву   | 3y:  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31             | Clerk to                          | o the Board   |  | Tom Mielke, Chair                                |  |
| 32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36       | Anthor                            | ved as to Form Only:<br>ny F. Golik<br>uting Attorney   | Ву   | By:<br>David Madore, Commissioner                |  |
| 37<br>38<br>39<br>40             | Ву:                               | Christine Cook<br>Deputy Prosecuting Attor  |  | By:<br>Ed Barnes, Commissioner                   |  |