

# **COMMUNITY PLANNING**

# Planning Commission Recommendation to the Clark County Board of County Commissioners

FROM: Steve Morasch, Chair

Valerie Uskoski, Vice-chair

PREPARED BY: Jose Alvarez

DATE: November 4, 2014

SUBJECT: CPZ2014-00004 Clark Regional Wastewater District

#### PLANNING COMMISISON RECOMMENDATION:

Planning Commission heard this matter at a duly advertised public hearing on May 15, 2014. The Planning Commission voted 5 to 0 to recommend approval to amend the Comprehensive Plan text to reflect the agreement between the City of Ridgefield and Clark Regional Wastewater District transferring ownership and operation of the City's local wastewater collection system to the District on January 1, 2014.

**PROPOSED ACTION:** The proposal is to amend the Comprehensive Plan text to reflect the agreement between the City of Ridgefield and Clark Regional Wastewater District transferring ownership and operation of the City's local wastewater collection system to the District on January 1, 2014.

**BACKGROUND:** As part of a broader effort to regionalize wastewater utility service, the City of Ridgefield (City) and Clark Regional Wastewater District (District) have adopted a separate two-party interlocal agreement that will transfer ownership and operation of the City's local wastewater collection system to the District effective January 1, 2014.

The agreement between the District and the City of Ridgefield is also consistent with County-wide Planning Policies, County 20-Year Plan Policies. See Appendix 1 for the list of proposed text changes to the Comprehensive Plan.

# **Summary of Comments Received**

To date, no comments from other agencies or the public have been received regarding this proposal.

# **Clark County Unified Development Code**

# 40.560.010 (M) Comprehensive Plan Policy or Text Changes

Action Required. Plan policy or text changes shall be accomplished through the changes initiated and approved by the county. These changes may occur as part of the Community Planning Staff Report

Page 1 of 3

periodic review update to occur consistent with RCW 36.70A.130 or as part of annual changes to the plan once per calendar year, or as part of emergency amendments which may be brought forward at any time, subject to applicable provision of this chapter.

<u>Finding:</u> This request is being processed as part of the annual update to the Comprehensive Plan.

Required Criteria. Plan text or policy changes may be approved only when all of the following are met:

a. The amendment shall meet all the requirements of and be consistent with the Growth Management Act and other requirements, the countywide planning policies, the community framework plan, the comprehensive plan, local comprehensive plans, applicable capital facilities plans and official population growth forecasts.

<u>Finding:</u> This request is compliant with all relevant plans. The partnership will not decrease the current levels of service below locally established minimums (Goal 12). The partnership will facilitate the extension of sewer service through the City of Ridgefield (Framework Policy 6.2.1).

# **RECOMMENDATION SUMMARY**

The following table lists the applicable criteria and summarizes the findings of the staff report for Annual Review Case CPZ2014-00004. The Planning Commission findings will be added to the table after public deliberation at the Planning Commission hearing scheduled for this application.

COMPLIANCE WITH APPLICABLE CRITERIA			
	Criteria Met?		
	Staff Report	Planning Commission Findings	
Criteria for Text Changes			
A. Consistency with GMA & Countywide Policies	Yes		
Recommendation:	Yes		

#### **Proposed Text Changes to Clark County Comprehensive Plan**

- Chapter 6. Services Summaries and Projected Future Needs; Table 6.1 Providers of Public Services and Utilities in Clark County; Sanitary Sewer Services – Ridgefield. Change City to Clark Regional Wastewater District. Pg 6-3
- Chapter 6. Sanitary Sewer/Treatment Plant. Sanitary sewer services in Clark County are provided by the Cities of Vancouver, Washougal, Camas, Battle Ground, <u>and</u> La Center and Ridgefield, as well as Clark Regional Wastewater District (CRWWD). Pg 6 - 7
- <u>Chapter 6. Sanitary Sewer/Treatment Plant.</u> The Clark Regional Wastewater District encompasses more than 46 square miles and serves approximately 106,000 customers within the unincorporated urban area north and northeast of Vancouver, as well as <u>the</u> <u>City of Ridgefield</u>, portions of the Orchards area and the Hockinson and Meadow Glade satellite systems. Pg 6-8
- Appendix E Capital Facility Plans Review and Analysis; Facilities and Services CFP Review; Sanitary Sewer Systems; In a similar fashion to water, sewer service to the urban areas is generally provided by the jurisdiction associated with each urban area with the exceptions of Vancouver, Battle Ground, <u>Ridgefield</u>, and the Three Creeks Special Planning Area.
- Appendix E Capital Facility Plans Review and Analysis; Facilities and Services CFP Review; Sewer Service Areas; Clark Regional Wastewater District (CRWWD) provides sewer service with treatment at the county's Salmon Creek Sewage Treatment Plant to the Three Creeks Special Planning Area and the northeastern section of the Vancouver Urban Growth Area. The City of Battle Ground conveys all of its wastewater to the Salmon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant through an agreement with CRWWD. The City of Ridgefield has transferred the ownership and operation of its collection system to CRWWD effective January 2014.

# CLARK COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION MINUTES Thursday, May 15, 2014

Public Services Center 1300 Franklin Street BOCC Hearing Room, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor Vancouver, WA

# 6:30 p.m.

#### I. CALL TO ORDER 6:30 P.M.

MORASCH: Well, good evening, and welcome to the hearing of the Clark County Planning Commission on May 15th, 2014. I will call the meeting to order. And can we get a roll call.

#### II. ROLL CALL & INTRODUCTION OF GUESTS

BARCA: HERE
BLOM: HERE
GIZZI: HERE

JOHNSON: ABSENT
QUIRING: ABSENT
USKOSKI: HERE
MORASCH: HERE

#### III. GENERAL & NEW BUSINESS

MORASCH: Okay. Moving on to general and new business, has everyone had a chance to review the agenda? Are there any changes? Then can we get a motion to approve the agenda.

# A. APPROVAL OF AGENDA FOR MAY 15, 2014

GIZZI: I make a motion we approve the agenda.

USKOSKI: Second.

MORASCH: All in favor.

**EVERYBODY: AYE** 

# B. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR MARCH 20, 2014

MORASCH: Then the motion carries, the agenda has been approved. Moving on to approval of the minutes, has everyone had a chance to review the minutes from the March 20, 2014 meeting? Can I get a motion to approve the minutes.

USKOSKI: Motion to approve the minutes.

GIZZI: Second.

BLOM: Second.

MORASCH: All in favor.

EVERYBODY: AYE

#### **MOTION & ROLL CALL VOTE**

BARCA: I'd like to make a motion that we go ahead and accept staff recommendation for the proposed action CPZ2014-00003, NE 10th, with it being subject to adequate review between Battle Ground and Ridgefield and County staff prior to going to the County Commissioners.

BLOM: Second.

MORASCH: Okay. The motion has been made and seconded. Can we have a roll call, please?

BARCA: AYE
BLOM: AYE
GIZZI: NO

MORASCH: AYE

USKOSKI: AYE

MCCALL: 4 in favor, 1 against. Motion passes.

MORASCH: All right. The motion carries. Thank you everyone. And don't forget to go to the Board of County Commissioners' hearing which is upcoming on this matter.

PUBLIC: Nice job. Thank you.

MORASCH: Thank you.

PUBLIC: Nice job.

MORASCH: Okay. Well, I guess you can stay put.

ALVAREZ: Yeah.

#### C. CPZ2014-00004 CLARK REGIONAL WASTE WATER DISTRICT

MORASCH: We will move on to the next item on our agenda, CPZ2014-00004, Clark Regional Wastewater District. And, Mr. Alvarez, can we have the staff report, please.

ALVAREZ: Yes, you may. So this proposal is to amend the comprehensive plan text to reflect the agreement between the City of Ridgefield and Clark Regional Wastewater District transferring ownership and operation of the city's local wastewater collection system to the district, and that happened January 1 of 2014. This is a summary of the proposed text changes. There are five proposed text changes, three of them are in Chapter 6 of the comprehensive plan. They're all very minor changes that just essentially change the wording from Ridgefield to Clark Regional Wastewater District just to reflect the agreement that was made. And if you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer those.

MORASCH: All right. Does anyone have any questions? No questions. Do we have a sign-in sheet?

MCCALL: There is no one signed up.

MORASCH: No one signed up. Is there anyone in the audience who would like to testify on this matter? No one coming forward. With that, we will close the public hearing portion and turn it over to the Planning Commission for deliberation. We'll start with you, Jim, this time. Do you have any deliberation on this matter?

GIZZI: No, I don't. Thanks.

MORASCH: All right. Valerie.

USKOSKI: I'm good.

MORASCH: John.

BLOM: Good.

MORASCH: Ron.

#### **MOTION & ROLL CALL VOTE**

BARCA: Motion to approve staff recommendation.

GIZZI: Second.

MORASCH: All right. We have a motion made and seconded. Can we have roll call, please.

USKOSKI: AYE

MORASCH: AYE

GIZZI: AYE

BLOM: AYE

BARCA: AYE

MCCALL: 5 in favor. Motion passes.

#### D. CPZ2014-00007 WASHOUGAL UGA REMOVAL

MORASCH: All right. The motion carries. That concludes Item C on our agenda. Moving on to item CPZ2014-00007, Washougal UGA removal. Mr. Alvarez, can we have the staff report, please.

ALVAREZ: Okay. This is to amend the comprehensive plan and zoning map to re-designate certain properties. There's two totaling about 116 acres in the Washougal urban growth area as Agricultural 20 and to remove these properties from the urban growth area. This was a result of the last -- this will conclude the appeals of the 2007 comprehensive plan.

MORASCH: Any questions? Is there anyone from the audience, there's no one in the audience, but is there anyone from the audience who wishes to testify on this matter? Hearing no one, we'll turn it over to the Planning Commission for discussion and/or a motion. Jim.

#### **MOTION & ROLL CALL VOTE**

GIZZI: I make a motion that we accept staff recommendations and move those two pieces out of the Washougal urban growth boundary.

BARCA: I second that motion, Jim.

MORASCH: All right. It's been made and seconded. Is there any discussion on the motion? No discussion? Roll call, please.

BARCA: AYE

BLOM: AYE

GIZZI: AYE

MORASCH: AYE

USKOSKI: AYE

MCCALL: 5 in favor.

# **COMMUNITY PLANNING**

Today's Date:	April 3, 2014
File Name:	Clark Regional Wastewater District
File Number:	CPZ2014-00004
Publication Date:	May 1, 2014
Comment Deadline Date:	May 15, 2014
Project Manager:	Jose Alvarez

Attached is an environmental Determination of Non-significance (DNS) and associated environmental checklist issued pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Rules (Chapter 197-11, Washington Administrative Code). The enclosed review comments reflect evaluation of the determination within fourteen (14) days of the DNS publication date. The lead agency will not act on this proposal until the close of the 14-day comment period.

Please address any correspondence to:

**Clark County Community Planning** 

**RE: SEPA Comments** 

P.O. Box 9810

Vancouver, WA 98660-9810

Or e-mail: commplanning@clark.wa.gov

Federal Agencies:				
Bonneville Power Administration	kspierce@bpa.gov			
Federal Aviation Administration, Aeronautics	mohan,l.gupta@faa.gov			
Gifford Pinchot National Forest, USDA	cachandler@fs.fed.us			
US Army Corps of Engineers	steven.w.manlow@usace.army.mil			
US Fish & Wildlife Service, Ridgefield, WA	randy hill@fws.gov			
US Fish & Wildlife Service, ESA Division Mgr.	ken berg@fws.gov			
US Forest Service, NSA Office, Hood River, OR	rshoal@fs.fed.us			
Native American Interest:				
Chehalis Tribal Council	gconnelly@chehalistribe.org			
Chinook Nation/Indian Country	PO Box 304; Ilwaco, Indian Country 98624			
Columbia River Inter-Tribal Fish Commission	croj@critfc.org			
Confederated Tribes of Grand Ronde	info@grandronde.org			
Confederated Tribes of Warm Springs	rcraig@wstribes.org			
Cowlitz Tribe, Longview WA	permitreview@cowlitz.org			
Nisqually Indian Tribe	cushman.joe@nisqually-nsn.gov			
Quinault Nation Business Committee	cwilson@quinault.org			
Shoalwater Bay Tribe	PO Box 130, Tokeland WA 98590			
Yakima Indian Nation	PO Box 151, Toppenish WA 98948			
Nez Perce Tribe, Lapwai, ID	nptec@nezperce.org			
State Agencies:				
WSDOT, SW Region, Donald Wagner	klockek@wsdot.wa.gov			
WSDOT, SW Region, Jeff Barsness	barsnej@wsdot.wa.gov			
WSDOT, SW Region, Ken Burgstahler	<u>burgstk@wsdot.wa.gov</u>			
State Agencies Required by Department of Commerce				

Department of Commerce, Ike Nwankwo	ike.nwankwo@commerce.wa.gov			
Dept. of Commerce, Review Team	reviewteam@commerce.wa.gov			
Dept. of Corrections, Olympia, WA	ilmurphy@doc1.wa.gov			
Dept. of Health, Drinking Water	mike.means@doh.wa.gov			
Dept. of Ecology, SEPA Unit				
	gmacoordination@ecy.wa.gov			
Dept. of Ecology, Env. Review	sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov			
Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Region 5	teamvancouver@dfw.wa.gov			
Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Priority Habitats	anne.friesz@dfw.wa.gov			
Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Shorelines	margen.carlson@dfw.wa.gov			
Dept. of Fish & Wildlife, Review Team	wfwoctap@fws.gov			
Dept. of Natural Resources	SEPACENTER@dnr.wa.gov			
Dept. of Social & Health Services	robert.hubenthal@dshs.wa.gov			
Dept. of Transportation, SW Region	wagnerd@wsdot.wa.gov			
Parks & Recreation Commission	randy.kline@parks.wa.gov			
Utilities & Transportation Commission	geckhard@utc.wa.gov			
WA Office of Archaeology & Historic Preservation	rob.whitlam@dahp.wa.gov			
Regional Agencies:				
Regional Transportation Council	lynda.david@rtc.wa.gov			
SW Clean Air Agency	bob@swcleanair.org			
C-TRAN, Development Review	devrev@c-tran.org			
C-TRAN, Jeff Hamm, Exec. Director/CEO	jeffh@c-tran.org			
Cowlitz-Wahkiakum Council of Gov'ts	cwcog@cwcog.org			
Local Agencies:				
Clark County CommDev-Building Division	jim.muir@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Commissioners Office	tina.redline@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Fire Marshall	firemar@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Public Works-78 <sup>th</sup> Street	corrie.guardino@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Environmental Services	joanne.berg@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County PW/Transportation	rob.klug@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Sheriff's Office	garry.lucas@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Emergency Management	doug.smith-lee@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Prosecutor's Office-Civil	christine.cook@clark.wa.gov			
Clark County Health Department	csowder@clark.wa.gov			
Cowlitz County Planning Department	raiterg@co.cowlitz.wa.us			
Vancouver-Clark Parks & Recreation	parksrec@cityofvancouver.us			
Cities & Town:				
City of Battle Ground, Planning	robert.maul@cityofbg.org			
City of Camas, Planning	pbourquin@cityofcamas.us			
City of La Center, Planning	dmiller@ci.lacenter.wa.us			
City of La Center, Mayor	jirish@ci.lacenter.wa.us			
City of Ridgefield, City Manager	phil.messina@ci.ridgefield.wa.us			
City of Ridgefield, Mayor	ron.onslow@ci.ridgefield.wa.us			
City of Vancouver, Community Planning	bryan.snodgrass@cityofvancouver.us			
City of Vancouver, Community Planning	chad.eiken@cityofvancouver.us			
City of Vancouver, Community Planning	sandra.towne@cityofvancouver.us			
City of Vancouver, Mayor	tim.leavitt@cityofvancouver.us			
City of Washougal, Planning	mkneipp@ci.washougal.wa.us			
City of Woodland, Planning	smellera@ci.woodland.wa.us			
Town of Yacolt, Jeff Niten, Planner III	jeff.niten@clark.wa.gov			
Town of Yacolt, Mayor	mayorcarothers@centurytel.net			
School Districts:	mayor carothers we century termet			
Battle Ground School District	lynn.marybeth@battglegroundps.org			
Battle Ground School District	jolma.kevin@battlegroundps.org			
Dattie Ground School District	Tourierve Automorrie Riodinabaroi R			

Camas School District	mike.nerland@camas.wednet.edu
Camas School District	helen.charneski@camas.wednet.edu
Evergreen School District	rgood@egreen.wednet.edu
ESD 112	marnie.allen@esd112.org
Green Mountain School District	joe.jones@greenmountainschool.us
Hockinson School District	sandra.yager@hock.k12.wa.us
La Center School District	mark.mansell@lacenterschools.org
Ridgefield School District	art.edgerly@ridge.k12.wa.us
Vancouver School District	todd.horenstein@vansd.org
Vancouver School District	jennifer.halleck@vansd.org
Washougal School District	joe.steinbrenner@washougalsd.org
Washougal School District	dawn.tarzian@washougalsd.org
Woodland School District	steent@woodlandschools.org
Special Purpose Agencies:	steent@woodiandscrioois.org
<del>}</del>	dallen@clarkpud.com
Clark County Public Utilities (PUD)	dkiggins@crwwd.com
Clark Regional Wastewater District	
Col. River Economic Dev. Council (CREDC)  Natural Resources Conservations Service	Inisenfeld@credc.org rebecca.morris@wa.nrcs.usda.gov
Vancouver Housing Authority	tdrawz@vhausa.com
Ports:	
Port of Camas-Washougal, Exec. Director	david@portcw.com
Port of Camas-Washougal, Mtc./Logistics	larry@portcw.com
Port of Ridgefield, Executive Director	bgrening@portridgefield.org
Port of Vancouver, Environ. Services	pboyden@portvanusa.com
Port of Vancouver	info@portvanusa.com
Libraries:	
Battle Ground Community Library	jspurlock@fvrl.org
Camas Public Library	rmartin@ci.camas.wa.us
Cascade Park Community Library	ttorres@fvrl.org
Vancouver Community Library	kford@fvrl.org
Ridgefield Community Library	P.O. Box 547, Ridgefield, WA 98642
Van Mall Community Library	bmeisenheimer@fvrl.org
Washougal Community Library	smcgill@fvrl.org
Woodland Public Library	jkeeler@fvrl.org
Fire Districts:	
East County Fire & Rescue	skoehler@ecfr.us
Clark County Fire & Rescue & District #2	dennis.mason@clarkfr.org
Fire Protection District #3	steve@clarkcofd3.org
Fire Protection District #5	dave.vial@nwrtc.org
Fire Protection District #6	jerryg@ccfd6.org
Fire Protection District #10	gordon.brooks@clark.wa.gov
Fire Protection District #13	b.peeler@northcountryems.org
Media:	
Camas-Washougal Post Record	heather.acheson@camaspostrecord.com
Columbian	stephanie.rice@columbian.com
KGW NW TV Channel 8	newsdesk@kgw.com
KOIN News Center 6	koindesk@koin.com
KPDX FOX 49	foxdesk@kpdx.com
Oregonian	abrettman@oregonian.com
Reflector	steve@thereflector.com
Neighborhood Associations:	
Andresen/St. Johns N.A.	n.chambers@comcast.net
East Fork Frontier N.A	gabriel364@aol.com

East Fork Hills Rural Association	coyoteridge@tds.net
East Minnehaha N.A.	tonysuel@aol.com
Enterprise/Paradise Point N.A.	balancedjw@gmail.com
Fairgrounds N.A.	bridget@bridge-i-t.com
Felida N.A.	gaudeamus@earthlink.net
Fern Prairie N.A.	fernprairieNA@hotmail.com
Greater Brush Prairie N.A.	rpearson7@gmail.com
Green Meadows N.A.	davesoco@comcast.net
Heritage N.A.	vancouver.heritage.na@gmail.com
Meadow Glade N.A.	18210 NE Cramer Rd., Battle Ground WA 98604
NE Hazel Dell N.A.	bsvanc@aol.com
North Fork Lewis N.A.	P.O. Box 2121, Woodland, WA 98674
North Salmon Creek N.A.	NSCNA+president@salmoncreeklive.com
Pleasant Highlands N.A.	abramson@lifescipartners.net
Proebstel N.A.	proebstelnawendy@yahoo.com
Ridgefield Junction N.A.	marc.krsul@edwardjones.com
Roads End N.A.	5513 NE 40 <sup>th</sup> St., Vancouver WA 98661
Sherwood Hills N.A.	vicki.fitzsimmons@edwardjones.com
Sifton N.A.	sifton-na@comcast.net
Sunnyside N.A.	flute maker@comcast.net
Truman N.A.	trumanneighborhood@gmail.com
	brendanaddis@comcast.net
Washougal River N.A.	ilastanek@hotmail.com
West Hazel Dell N.A.	
Neighborhood Assn. Council (NACCC)	dougballou@comcast.net
Other Interested Parties:	
BIA of SW WA (Building Industry Assn.)	sb.madsen@hotmail.com
Clark County Natural Resource Council	karpid@comcast.net
Clark County Association of Realtors	coe@ccrealtors.com
Clark County Citizens in Action	1017 NE 107 <sup>th</sup> St., Vancouver WA 98685
Clark County Citizens United	cccuinc@yahoo.com
Clark County Citizens United	nickredinger@hotmail.com
Clark County Public Health Advisory Council	colliersepticconsult-design@comcast.net
Clifford Aaby	flyboy256@g.com
David Cooper	27715 NE 197 <sup>th</sup> Ave., Battle Ground WA 98604
David Taylor	davet@ccfd6.org
Eric Fuller & Associates	efuller@ef-inc.com
Foster Pepper & Shefelman	washi@foster.com
Friends of Clark County	charlene.welch@comcast.net
Friends of Columbia Gorge	rick@gorgefriends.org
James Howsley	jamie.howsley@jordanramis.com
Ken Hadley	kenhadley@comcast.net
Kent Landerholm & Associates, Inc.	kent.landerholmandassociates@comcast.net
Landerholm, P.S.	randyp@landerholm.com
Landerholm, P.S.	stacey.shields@landerholm.com
Pam Mason	nwzephyr@msn.com
Rural Clark County Preservation Assoc.	ddykes@tds.net
	6.11.1
Stoel Rives LLP	mrfeichtinger@stoel.com
Stoel Rives LLP SW WA Contractors Association	mrteichtinger@stoel.com mike@swca.org

#END OF LIST#



File: Comprehensive General Sewer Plan Amendment

DNS 02 - 12

Date Published: May 21, 2012

Please find enclosed an environmental Determination of Non-Significance issued pursuant to the State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA) Rules (Chapter 197-11), Washington Administrative Code.

You may comment on this DNS by submitting written comments within fifteen (15) days of this notice as provided for by WAC 197-11-408 and RCW 36.70B.110.

Please address all correspondence to:

**Clark Regional Wastewater District** 

PO Box 8979

Vancouver, WA 98668-8979

Attn: Steve Bacon

# **DISTRIBUTION LIST**

# **Federal Agencies**

- US Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District
- Northwest Power Planning Council
- Bonneville Power Administration
- US Fish and Wildlife Service, Ridgefield Refuge

#### Native American Interests

- Chinook Indian Tribe
- Cowlitz Indian Tribe
- Yakima Indian Nation

#### **State Agencies**

- Department of Archaeology and Historic Preservation
- Department of Community Development
- Department of Ecology SEPA Review Section
- Department of Health
- Department of Natural Resources SEPA Center
- Department of Fish and Wildlife
- Department of Transportation
- Department of Commerce

# Regional Agencies

- Fort Vancouver Regional Library
- Battle Ground Branch-FV Library
- Three Creeks Branch-FV Library
- Southwest Clean Air Agency
- Southwest Washington Regional Transportation Council

# **Local Agencies**

- City of Battle Ground
- City of Camas
- City of La Center
- City of Ridgefield
- City of Vancouver
  - Administration
  - Community Preservation and Development
  - Public Works
- City of Washougal
- City of Woodland
- Clark County Department of Community Development
  - Administration
  - Building Division
  - Central Files
  - Community Planning
  - Historic Preservation
  - Water Quality Division
- Clark County Department of Public Works
  - Administration
  - Environmental Public Health Service
  - Water Resources Program
- Clark County Public Health
- Clark County Conservation District
- Clark County Sheriff's Office
- Port of Camas-Washougal
- Port of Ridgefield
- Port of Vancouver
- Port of Woodland
- Town of Yacolt
- Vancouver-Clark Parks and Recreation

# **Special Purpose Agencies**

- C-Tran
- CRESA
- Clark Public Utilities
- Battle Ground School District
- Vancouver School District
- Evergreen School District
- Hockinson School District
- Ridgefield School District
- La Center School District
- Washington State University Vancouver Campus
- Fire Protection District 3
- Fire Protection District 5
- Fire Protection District 6
- Clark County Fire and Rescue
- Fire Protection District 13
- Vancouver Fire Department

# **Interest Groups**

- Building Industry Association
- Southwest Washington Contractors Association
- Neighborhood Associations Council of Clark County
- Clark County Natural Resources Council
- Vancouver Housing Authority
- Columbia River Economic Development Council
- Fish First
- Sequoyah Institute
- Sierra Club Loo Wit
- Vancouver Audubon Society
- Andresen/St. Johns Neighborhood Association
- Daybreak Neighborhood Association
- East Minnehaha Neighborhood Association
- Fairgrounds Neighborhood Association
- Felida Neighborhood Association
- Fern Prairie Neighborhood Association
- Greater Brush Prairie Neighborhood Association
- Green Meadows Neighborhood Association
- Hazel Dell/Salmon Creek Business Association
- Heritage Neighborhood Association
- Maple Tree Neighborhood Association
- Meadow Glade Neighborhood Association
- NE Hazel Dell Neighborhood Association
- North Salmon Creek Neighborhood Association
- Pleasant Highlands Neighborhood Association
- Ramblin' Creek Estates/South Salmon Creek Ave. Neighborhood Association
- Ridgefield Junction Neighborhood Association
- Roads End Neighborhood Association
- Sherwood Hills Neighborhood Association
- Sifton Neighborhood Association
- Sunnyside Neighborhood Association
- West Hazel Dell Neighborhood Association

# **DETERMINATION OF NONSIGNIFICANCE**

Description of proposal:

The Clark Regional Wastewater District encompasses approximately 40 square miles of land ranging from single-family residential to industrial uses. The Comprehensive General Sewer Plan (GSP) identifies infrastructure required to provide sanitary sewer service within the District's Service area. This Comprehensive GSP Amendment addresses the Individual needs of four sanitary sewer drainage basins associated with conveying flow from the City of Ridgefield through the District's collection system to the Salmon Creek Treatment Plant. Infrastructure needs for the 20-year horizon are estimated, and the cost of the improvements is used to estimate sewer system development charges for the District. Flow triggers are provided for the required improvements so that the timing of future design and construction activities can be adjusted to reflect actual growth in the impacted basins.

Proponent:

**Clark Regional Wastewater District** 

Location of proposal, including street address, if any:

Interstate-5 North Subarea of Clark Regional Wastewater District service area

Lead Agency: Clark Regional Wastewater District

The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable significant adverse Impact on the environment. The environmental impact statement (EIS) is not required under RCW 43.21C.030(2)(c). This decision was made after review of a completed environmental checklist and other information on file with the lead agency. This information is available to the public on request.

-	There	is	no	comment	period	for	this	DNS.
---	-------	----	----	---------	--------	-----	------	------

X This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2); the lead agency will not act on this proposal for days from the date below. Comments must be submitted by June 5, 2012

Responsible Official: John M. Peterson, P.E.

Position/Title:

General Manager

Telephone:

(360) 993-8819 (360) 750-7570

Address:

Fax:

Clark Regional Wastewater District

8000 NE 52nd Ct. PO Box 8979

Vancouver, WA 98668-8979

Date: 05-16-2012.

Signature

You may appeal this determination to John M. Retelson within fourteen (14) calendar days of the date of this notice. The notice of appeal shall be sufficiently detailed to provide reasonable notice to the Clark Regional Wastewater District of how the person or the person's property is adversely affected by the proposal, any new facts, which would be important to and affect the determination and the reasons why the determination was incorrect.

Any appeal, which is timely filed, shall be scheduled for hearing by the Board of Commissioners no later than 45 days after filing. Within 30 days of the conclusion of the hearing, the Board shall render its decision accompanied by appropriate findings of fact and conclusions of law.

# **ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST**

# A. BACKGROUND

1. Name of Proposed Project, if applicable:

Non-project Action: Comprehensive General Sewer Plan (GSP) Amendment for Clark Regional Wastewater District

- 2. Name of Applicant: Clark Regional Wastewater District
- 3. Address and Phone Number of Applicant and Contact Person:

8000 NE 52nd Ct. PO Box 8979 Vancouver, WA 98668-8979 (360) 993-8819 Attn: Steve Bacon, P.E., Development Planning Engineer

4. Date Checklist Prepared:

May 4, 2012

5. Agency Requesting Checklist:

Washington State Department of Ecology

6. Proposed Timing or Schedule (including phasing, if applicable):

Adoption of this Comprehensive GSP Amendment for Clark Regional Wastewater District will occur in 2012 following approval by the Washington State Department of Ecology. The schedule for actual capital improvements will be in accordance with individual basin wastewater needs. The GSP includes an estimate of the improvements necessary and the timing of those improvements; however, these are projections and will be adjusted as appropriate to provide the required capacity needed to serve growth. Separate SEPA documents will be prepared for each non-exempt project.

7. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansions, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, please explain.

The District's Comprehensive GSP is reviewed every seven years and amended as needed to adjust for changes in land use regulations.

8. List any environmental information you know about that has been or will be prepared related to this proposal:

The District's current General Sewer Plan included a SEPA checklist, which was reviewed by the responsible lead agency. A Determination of Non-Significance was made. Individual improvement projects may require project specific SEPA checklists and lead agency determinations.

9. Are other applications pending for governmental approvals affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, please explain.

Within the Clark Regional Wastewater District service area, individual private development and capital facility projects are pending governmental approvals. Improvements identified in the GSP Amendment will allow flow from the City of Ridgefield to be conveyed to the

Salmon Creek Treatment Plant; a separate Engineering Report describing that project will be submitted to Ecology for approval.

10. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal.

Acceptance by Clark County Long Range Planning
Approval by Clark Regional Wastewater District Commissioners
Approval by Washington State Department of Ecology

11. Give a brief, complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and size of the project and site. There are several questions addressed later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description).

The District encompasses approximately 40 square miles of land ranging from single-family residential to industrial uses. The GSP uses population and employment projections in the County to allocate projected growth into 56 sanitary sewer drainage basins. This Comprehensive GSP Amendment addresses the individual needs of four of these sanitary sewer drainage basins associated with conveying flow from the City of Ridgefield through the District's collection system to the Salmon Creek Treatment Plant. Infrastructure needs for the 20-year horizon are estimated, and the dollar cost of the improvements is used to establish sanitary sewer service General Facilities Charges for the District. Flow triggers are provided for the required improvements so that the timing of future design and construction activities can be adjusted to reflect actual growth in the impacted basins.

12. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including street address, section, township, and range. If this proposal occurs over a wide area, please provide the range or boundaries of the site. Also, a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map. You are required to submit any plans required by the agency, but not required to submit duplicate maps or plans submitted with permit applications related to this checklist.

The District office is located at 8000 NE 52nd Court, Vancouver, Washington. The service boundary for the District extends from the City of Vancouver corporate limits on the south to NE 229th Street on the North, primarily including areas within the Urban Growth Boundary; and from Vancouver Lake on the west, and NE 117th Avenue on the east. The District service area generally only includes area within the Urban Growth Area or those areas adjacent to the Urban Growth Area that can drain by gravity sewer to the Urban Growth Boundary. The District is comprised of 56 individual sewer basins; five are tributary to the Vancouver Westside Wastewater Treatment Plant and 51 are tributary to the Salmon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant.

The areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment are along the Interstate-5 corridor, extending from approximately NE 229th Street on the north to NE 122nd Street on the south.

The inclusion of areas outside the Urban Growth Boundary is not intended to encourage development outside the Urban Growth Boundary; however, it is responsible to include all the areas that naturally drain into the sewer basins.

#### **B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS**

#### 1. EARTH

A. General description of the site (circle one): (flat), (rolling), (hilly), (steep slopes), mountainous, other.

All of these conditions exist within the areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment.

- B. What is the steepest slope on the site and the approximate percentage of the slope? Not Applicable to this proposal.
- C. What general types of soils are found on the site (e.g., clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? Please specify the classification of agricultural soils and note any prime farmland.

The basins covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment are tributary to the Salmon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. Areas tributary to the Salmon Creek Wastewater treatment Plant consists of the Sauvie-Puyallup association adjacent to Salmon Creek and the Hillsboro-Gee-Odne association in the upland areas from Vancouver Lake to approximately NE 50th Avenue.

D. Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, please describe.

There are portions of the areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment which have a history of slope instability. Any projects proposed in those areas would address slope stability concerns at the time of project development.

E. Describe the purpose, type and approximate quantities of any filling or proposed grading. Also, indicate the source of fill.

Not applicable to this proposal. Grading may be required for individual capital improvement projects. These projects will be approved by the Clark Regional Wastewater District for a specific project scope and area.

F. Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction or use? If so, please describe.

Not applicable to this proposal. Separate project specific plans for construction will be required, and any erosion prevention and sediment control mitigation measures will be addressed on the construction plans or as a condition of the right-of-way utility permit.

G. What percentage of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after the project construction (e.g., asphalt or buildings)?

Not applicable to this proposal. On future projects, the installation of capital facilities will not create a significant amount of impervious surface (Only manhole lids, pump station work areas, and similar structures create impervious surfaces).

H. Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth include:

Not applicable to this proposal. For specific improvement projects, erosion and sediment control practices will limit the amount of sediment leaving the work areas. Plans for capital facility improvements will typically incorporate practical Best Management Practices (BMPs) into the project specific erosion control plans. Other measures will be selected based on particular site requirements.

#### 2. AIR

A. What types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (e.g., dust, automobile, odors, and industrial wood smoke) during construction and after completion? If yes, describe and give approximate quantities.

Not applicable to this proposal.

B. Are there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If so, please describe:

Not applicable to this proposal.

C. Proposed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air:

Not applicable to this proposal.

#### 3. WATER

#### A. Surface

1. Is there any surface water body on or in the vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names and into which stream or river it flows into.

The streams within the areas covered in this GSP amendment are Salmon Creek, Whipple Creek, Mill Creek and Gee Creek. Within the boundary, Mill Creek is tributary to Salmon Creek; and Whipple Creek and Gee Creek are tributary to Lake River. All of the surface water bodies within the District's service area eventually drain into the Columbia River.

Wetlands exist throughout the area, many in close proximity to the above named surface water bodies.

2. Will the project require any work within 200 feet of the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans.

Not applicable to this proposal. Conceptual alignments for capital facilities are shown in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment. Individual projects may be proposed within 200 feet of surface water bodies and would be subject to separate environmental review. Separate SEPA documents will be prepared for each individual project.

3. Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or removed from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material.

Not applicable to this proposal.

4. Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Please provide description, purpose and approximate quantities:

Not applicable to this proposal.

5. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan.

Within the areas covered in this GSP amendment, Gee Creek, Salmon Creek, and Mill Creek, have flood profiles prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and include the 100-year flood plain elevation. Specific construction projects will be considered individually as they relate to construction activities within the 100

year floodplain. Separate SEPA documents will be prepared for each individual project.

6. Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge.

Not applicable to this proposal.

#### B. Ground

1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Please give description, purpose, and approximate quantities.

Not applicable to this proposal. Dewatering for individual projects will be addressed in plans specific to the project being proposed.

 Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (e.g., domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals...; agricultural; etc.). Describe the size and number of the systems, houses to be served; or, the number of animals or humans the system are expected to serve.

Not applicable to this proposal. Abandonment of existing septic systems as public sewer becomes available will decrease the amount of waste currently discharging to the ground.

- C. Water Runoff (including storm water):
  - Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and the method of collection and disposal. Include quantities, if known. Describe where water will flow, and if it will flow into other water.

Not applicable to this proposal. The future construction of capital facilities anticipated in this GSP amendment is not anticipated to appreciably increase the amount of surface water runoff. Separate SEPA documents will be prepared for each individual project.

2. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, please describe.

Not applicable to this proposal. Upon construction of future sanitary sewer systems, overflows could occur due to clogged or damaged pipes or pumping systems and acts of nature. Spills are regulated by the Department of Ecology. Spill response procedures are in place.

D. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any:

Proper planning, design, construction, and maintenance of infrastructure minimizes the potential for impacts to surface, ground, and runoff water. The Comprehensive GSP and this Amendment provide the planning required to ensure adequate capacity to minimize potential impacts. District Specifications and emergency response plans help to minimize impacts during construction and maintenance operations.

# 4. PLANTS

- A. Check or circle types of vegetation found on the site:
  - X Deciduous tree: alder, maple, aspen, other
  - X Evergreen tree: fir, cedar, pine, other
  - X Shrubs
  - X Grass

- X Pasture
- X Crop or grain
- X Wet soil plants: cattail, buttercup, bulrush, skunk cabbage, other
- X Water plants: water lily, eelgrass, milfoil, other
- X Other types of vegetation
- B. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered?

Not applicable to this proposal. Specific projects will be considered individually.

C. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

None known.

D. List proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site:

Not applicable to this proposal. Specific projects will be considered individually.

#### 5. ANIMALS

A. Circle any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site:

Birds: (hawk), (heron), (eagle), (songbirds), other: monk parrot
Mammals: (deer), (bear), elk, (beaver), other: raccoons, opossums
Fish: (bass), (salmon), (trout), herring, shellfish, other:

B. List any threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site.

Bald Eagles may reside within the areas shown in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment.

C. Is the site part of a migration route? If so, please explain.

The entire region is part of the Pacific Flyway.

D. List proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife:

Not applicable to this proposal. Individual site assessment may be required as specific projects are proposed.

# 6. ENERGY AND NATURAL RESOURCES

A. What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc.

Not applicable to this proposal. Gas, oil, and diesel will be used by equipment during specific project construction. Electrical power will be used for pumping, lighting, heating, ventilation, and other mechanical needs at pump stations.

B. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, please describe.

No.

C. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts:

New or expanded pump stations will be designed to operate at optimum efficiency. New buildings, if any, will comply with Washington State Nonresidential Energy Code requirements.

#### 7. ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

A. Are there any environmental hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, please describe.

Not applicable to this proposal.

1. Describe special emergency services that might be required.

None anticipated.

2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any?

Not applicable to this proposal.

The installation of public sewers may reduce health hazards, as homes with failing septic systems are given the opportunity to connect to public sewer.

#### B. Noise

1. What types of noise exist in the area which may affect your project (e.g., traffic, equipment operation, other)?

None.

2. What types and levels of noise are associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (e.g., traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours the noise would come from the site.

Not applicable to this proposal.

3. Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts:

Not applicable to this proposal.

#### 8. LAND AND SHORELINE USE

A. What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties?

Land use within the areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment is a variety of low, medium, and high density residential; commercial and industrial applications; and agricultural uses.

B. Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe.

Some properties within the areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment are used for pasture and gardens. These areas are not anticipated to be impacted by construction of the proposed sewers and pump stations. Agriculturally-zoned properties are located adjacent to, but outside of, the District's service area boundary.

C. Describe any structures on the site.

Structures within the areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment are typical of the uses listed in section 8A above.

D. Will any structures be demolished? If so, please describe? Not applicable to this proposal.

E. What is the current zoning classification of the site?

Commercial (C-2, C-3), Highway Commercial (CH, CH-12), Limited Commercial (CL), Freeway Commercial (CF), Office Commercial (OC), Business Park (BP), Limited Industrial (ML), Office Residential (OR-43), Single-Family residential (R1-5, R1-6, R1-7.5, R1-10, R1-20), multi-family residential (R-12, R-18, R-22, R-30, R-43), and Public Facilities.

F. What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site?

Urban Low Density Residential, Urban Medium Density Residential, Urban High Density Residential, Mixed Use, Office Park, Light Industrial, Open Space, and Public Facilities.

G. What is the current shoreline master program designation of the site?

Not applicable to the Comprehensive GSP Amendment. Specific projects will be evaluated for the shoreline master program designation.

H. Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so, please specify.

Yes, there are areas of sensitive lands within the areas covered in this GSP amendment. Examples include the 100-year flood plain, shoreline management areas, wetlands and wetland buffers, and critical habitat areas. Improvements within these areas will address the impacts on a project specific basis.

I. How many people would reside or work in the completed project?

Not applicable to this proposal.

J. How many people would the completed project displace?

None.

K. Please list proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts:

Not applicable to this proposal.

L. List proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans:

The Comprehensive GSP Amendment purpose is to plan for the provision of sanitary sewer at a level of service adequate for the projected land uses and populations. The plan is created to ensure that service and land uses proposed are compatible.

#### 9. HOUSING

A. Approximately how many units would be provided? Indicate whether it's high, middle, or low income housing.

Not applicable to this proposal.

B. Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether it's high, middle, or low-income housing.

None.

C. List proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts:

Not applicable to this proposal.

#### 10. AESTHETICS

A. What is the tallest height of any proposed structure(s), not including antennas? What is proposed as the principal exterior building materials?

Not applicable to this proposal. Pump station enclosures are the only above ground structures likely to be constructed relative to the improvements outlined in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment. Structures will not exceed one story in height.

- B. What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed?
  None.
- C. Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts: *None.*

#### 11. LIGHT AND GLARE

- A. What type of light or glare will be proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? Not applicable to this proposal.
- B. Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views?
  No.
- C. What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal?
  None.
- D. Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts: *Not applicable to this proposal.*

#### 12. RECREATION

- A. What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity?

  County parks, ball fields, school yards, neighborhood parks, and trail systems offer recreational opportunities within the areas covered in this GSP amendment.
- B. Would the project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, please describe. **No.**
- C. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreational opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant:

None anticipated. For future projects, mitigation measures will be considered on a caseby-case basis. Specific construction plans would detail any closures.

# 13. HISTORIC AND CULTURAL PRESERVATION

A. Are there any places or objects listed on or near the site which are listed or proposed for national, state, or local preservation registers? If so, please describe.

Not applicable to this proposal. Specific projects will be evaluated for areas of historical and/or cultural significance.

B. Please describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural importance known to be on or next to the site.

Not applicable to this proposal. Clark County's Historic Preservation Ordinance (40.250.030) identifies, protects, and preserves eligible historic and cultural resources. Individual project proposals would include a pre-determination request to Clark County to evaluate if further study of specific project areas is required.

C. Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts:

Not applicable to this proposal. During project-level environmental review, a thorough records search will be conducted to identify potential historic or archaeological resources. In the event potential cultural resources are discovered during excavations at the site, work will be suspended immediately. Artifacts uncovered would be evaluated by a professional archaeologist or historian before construction in the area of discovery is allowed to resume.

# 14. TRANSPORTATION

A. Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any.

Please refer to individual basin maps in the Comprehensive GSP Amendment or the Clark Regional Wastewater District service map.

B. Is the site currently served by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop?

Yes, C-Tran has routes within the areas covered in this GSP amendment.

C. How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate?

Not applicable to this proposal.

D. Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, please describe and indicate whether it's public or private.

No.

E. Will the project use water, rail, or air transportation? If so, please describe.

No.

F. How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? Indicate when peak traffic volumes would occur.

Not applicable to this proposal.

G. Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts:

None.

#### 15. PUBLIC SERVICES

- A. Would the project result in an increased need for public services (e.g., fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, please describe.
  No.
- B. Proposed measures to reduce or control direct impacts on public services.

  Not applicable to this proposal.

#### 16. UTILITIES

- A. Circle the utilities currently available at the site: (Electricity), (natural gas), (water), (refuse service), (telephone), (sanitary sewer), (septic system), other.
  - Most of these utilities are available to specific areas covered in this Comprehensive GSP Amendment.
- B. Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on or near the site.
  - None with this proposal. Sanitary sewer service from Clark Regional Wastewater District would be added with any future projects.

# C. SIGNATURE

The above answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the lead agency is relying on them to make its decision.

Signature: D.D. Phi Krause

Date Submitted: 5-15-2012

# D. SEPA Supplemental sheet for non-project actions

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

Because these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list of the elements of the environment. When answering these questions, be aware of the extent of the proposal and the types of activities likely to result from this proposal. Please respond briefly and in general terms.

1. How would the proposal increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise?

The Comprehensive General Sewer Plan (GSP) Amendment provides a list of projects necessary to accommodate the projected 20-year sewer flow. The basis for the GSP is the Clark County Comprehensive Plan and the growth projections provided by the Washington State Office of Financial Management. The increase in population, and the resultant specific improvement projects will increase the potential discharges to water and air, and produce some construction related noise. Without the projects identified in the GSP Amendment, sanitary sewer service to residential, commercial, or industrial users would be difficult or impossible. These users would then rely on septic systems that pose a higher risk of polluting the ground and waters of the State than public sewer. This plan provides for sanitary sewer service to areas designated as urban areas.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are:

Specific projects will be required to identify and address any adverse impacts created. Mitigation of the impacts will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis. Construction work will be performed in compliance with applicable local ordinances.

2. How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life?

This proposal will not adversely impact plants, animals, fish, or marine life. Specific projects will identify and address possible adverse impacts.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are:

Specific projects will be required to identify and address any adverse impacts created. Mitigation of the impacts will be evaluated on a project-by-project basis. Construction work will be performed in compliance with applicable local ordinances and project specific permits.

3. How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources?

This proposal will not deplete energy or natural resources. The improvements identified in the Comprehensive GSP Amendment maximize the use of gravity sanitary sewer service. Where this is not feasible, alternatives such as pump stations and force mains have been proposed. Pump stations use energy to pump raw sewage to treatment facilities or other gravity sewers; however, the amount of energy required is not significant.

Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are:

Improvements will be designed to operate as efficiently as possible and will use energy efficient fixtures where practical and cost effective. (e.g. lights, pumps, etc.)

4. How would the proposal use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or those designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands?

This proposal takes into account environmentally sensitive areas as part of the master-planning of the capital facilities. Some capital facilities proposed within the 20-year planning horizon may use or affect some of these sensitive areas; however, the impacts of individual projects will be considered against the environmental regulations adopted at the time the specific improvement plans are developed.

Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are:

Projects will be designed to avoid impacts to environmentally sensitive areas to the extent possible. Prior to construction, project-specific environmental reviews or environmental impact statements will be prepared as required and appropriate mitigation implemented.

5. How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use? Will it allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans?

This proposal will not affect land and shoreline use. Interceptor and trunk sewer construction may occur within 200' of surface water bodies within the areas covered in this GSP amendment. When capital facilities identified in the Comprehensive GSP Amendment become necessary, project review will consider various construction alternatives including placement of facilities near shorelines. All projects must receive appropriate permits prior to commencing construction.

Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are:

Some conventional construction projects adjacent to surface water bodies may prove too costly to construct, and alternatives (e.g., pump stations and force mains constructed in less sensitive areas) may be considered and analyzed for economic benefit and environmental impact.

6. How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities?

This plan provides a framework that outlines the needed improvements to the public sanitary sewer within the area of Clark County designated for urban development. The increase in demand on other services is correlated to the increase in demand for sanitary sewer; however, one does not cause the other.

Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are:

Not applicable to this proposal.

7. Identify whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment.

This proposal is not in conflict with local, state, or federal laws for the protection of the environment. The work outlined in the Comprehensive GSP Amendment must be planned within the framework of the existing environmental laws.

381

County of Clark

Calumbian

ACCIS PAYABLE CLARK REGIONAL WASTEMATER-I. PO BOX 8979 VAHCOUVER WA 98665 8979

REFERENCE: 70989 ONS 1-5 N SUBAREA 3195323 DETERMENATION OF NON

T, the undersigned say,

that I am over the age of eighteen and not inverested in the above entitled matter; that flame now, and at all time embraced in the publication ! be ein mentioned, was, the principal clerk of the t printer of The Columbian, a daily newspaper printed, published and circulated in the said county and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation by the Superior Court of the County off Clark, State of Washington, under Proceeding No. 1 802006715; that the advertisement, of which the annexed is a true printed copy, was published in | the above-hamed newspaper on the following dates, |

PUBLISHED ON: 05/21

TOTAL COST: 178.42 TILED ON: 05/21/12

I Certify (or declare) under penalty of perjory that the foregoing is true and correct,

DETERMINATION OF HOUSIGNICICADES

DETERMINATION OF RONDIGINITIOANDE DASCIGING OF PROPERTY.

The Stark Regional Washevaler District Incompasses: approximately 40 square under of tang ranging from single-family residential to indistrict uses. The Congressional to indistrict uses the Congression Stark Regional uses. The Congression Stark Regional uses the Congression Stark Regional uses the Congression Stark Regional United Starks area. This Congression Stark Regional Congression Stark Regional Congression Stark Regional Congression Stark Regional uses from the City of Ridgelied through the District's collection system to the Calonia Greek Treatment Plant, to inabstructure meets for the 20-year notion are estimated, and the coal of the improvements to use in estimate sever system to velopment charges for the District. Flow triggers are provided for the rapid polyment charges for the District. Flow triggers are provided for the rapid of filter disting and construction activities can be adjusted to reflect actual prowth in the improved basing.

Clark Regional Wastewater District incidence of proposal, including sheet additional Wastewater District and the Regional Wastewater District services arms a Lead Agency.

Clark Regional Wastewator District. The lead agency for this proposal has determined that it does not have a probable subtract of the explosion induced in the environmental impact statement (EES) as not regulated under RCW 43.240.30(27)-00. Inside decision was made after review of a conformation on the value the lead agency. The information is available to the purity on conjuest.

DRS. There is no continued order for the

There is no command oeded for the MS.

This DNS is issued under WAC 197-11-340(2), the lead agency will not act on this proposal for days from the date below. Comments must be school the date below. Comments must be school the date below. Responsible Official. John M. Pahison, P.E. Position-Title: General Manager Telephane (360) 993-9819
Fax (360) 750-7577.
Address.
Clark Rugloma Wastewater District 8000 ME 526 Ct.
PO hox 8979
Vancouver, WA 90058-8970
Data May 16, 2012. Signature
Van my appeal this determination to John 68. Peterson within fourteen (14) calonidadys of the date of this motic. The notice of appeal shall be sufficiently defailed to provide reasonable notice to the Chris Hegorial Wastewater District of how the person of the person's property is adversely, affected by the processal, only one facts, which would be important to and affect the determination was morrised.
Any appeal, which is timely fleet, shall be schaddled for beaung by the Board of Commissioners in later than 35 days after family suppreparate findings of fact and conclusions of lay.

May 21. 446303

Bernie Dundas 360.993.8823

----Original Message----

From: Bernie Dundas

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2012 2:23 PM To: Steve Bacon (SBacon@crwwd.com)

Subject: FW: SEPA Notification: CRWWD 052112 Comprehensive GSP Amendment

DNS 02-12

FYI. I thanked them for their response.

Bernie Dundas 360.993.8823

----Original Message----

From: Brad Windler [mailto:BradW@c-tran.org]

Sent: Friday, May 18, 2012 2:17 PM

To: Bernie Dundas

Subject: RE: SEPA Notification: CRWWD 052112 Comprehensive GSP Amendment

DNS 02-12

C-TRAN has no comment

----Original Message----

From: Bernie Dundas [mailto:bdundas@crwwd.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 16, 2012 5:17 PM

To: Phil.Wuest@ci.vancouver.wa.us; clarissa.bowen@cityofvancouver.us;

scott.sawyer@ci.battle-ground.wa.us; bmeats@clarkcd.org;

cccuinc@yahoo.com; ClarkCountyNeighbors@hotmail.com;

permitreview@cowlitz.org; culture@cowlitz.org; DevRev; karpjd@comcast.net;

gaudeamus@earthlink.net; friendsofclarkcounty@gmail.com;

sdsego@habitatbank.com

Cc: Steve Bacon; Linda Bauer

Subject: SEPA Notification: CRWWD 052112 Comprehensive GSP Amendment DNS

02-12

Please find attached Clark Regional Wastewater District's "052112 Comprehensive General Sewer Plan Amendment DNS 02-12."

Address all correspondence to:

Clark Regional Wastewater District PO Box 8979 Vancouver WA 98668-8979

Attn: Steve Bacon, P.E. Development Planning Engineer

(360) 993-8819

Bernie Dundas

Administrative Assistant III | Clark Regional Wastewater District

T: 360.993.8823 | F: 360.750.7570 | E: <u>bdundas@crwwd.com</u>

http://www.crwwd.com

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Clark County Board of County Commissioners will conduct a public hearing on **November 18, 2014 at 10:00 a.m.,** at the locations listed below, to consider the following items:

2013 Annual Reviews and Dockets amending the 20-Year Growth Management Comprehensive Plan Map and Zone Map:

# **PUBLIC HEARING on November 18, 2014**

LOCATION: Public Services Building, Commissioners Hearing Room,

6<sup>th</sup> Floor, 1300 Franklin Street, Vancouver, WA

TIME: 10:00 a.m.

CPZ2014-00003 NE 10<sup>th</sup> Ave – A proposal to amend the comprehensive plan and zoning designation from Rural (R-5) to Commercial (CR-1) on parcel numbers: 216954000; 216955000; 216948000; 216895000; and 216972000 Staff contact: Jose Alvarez 360-397-2280 ext 4898 or e-mail Jose.Alvarez@clark.wa.gov

# 2. CPZ2014-00004 - Clark Regional Waste Water District

This proposal would amend text in the Comprehensive Plan to reflect that Clark Regional Wastewater District is the wastewater provider for the City of Ridgefield. Staff contact: Jose Alvarez 360-397-2280 ext 4898 or e-mail Jose. Alvarez@clark.wa.gov

# 3. CPZ2014-00005 - Arterial Atlas - Fifth Plain Creek Area

A proposal to amend the arterial atlas classification from rural to urban on the following:

Northeast 88th Street from Ward Road to Northeast 182nd Avenue to a C-2cb (2-lane urban collector with center turn-lane and bike lane); and

Northeast 182nd Avenue from Northeast 88th Street to Northeast Fourth Plain Road to a C-2cb (2-lane urban collector with center turn-lane and bike lane); and

Northeast 83rd Street from the existing NE 78th Street extending eastward to the urban growth boundary to a C-2b (2-lane collector with bike lane and no parking allowed).

Staff contact: Laurie Lebowsky 360-397-2280 ext 4544 or e-mail Laurie.Lebowsky@clark.wa.gov

Anyone wishing to give testimony	in regard	to this	matter	should	appear	at the
time and place stated above.						

Approved as to Form only:

ANTHONY F. GOLIK Prosecuting Attorney

By:	
By: Christine Cook	
Deputy Prosecuting	Attorney