

The Preferred Alternative starts with a foundation of Alternative 1 that is then progressively modified by the following elements with the last element taking priority and precedent over prior (lower number) elements.

| Alternative | Option Description  | Planning<br>Commission<br>Recommendation                      | Preferred Alternative<br>2/23/16 |
|-------------|---|---|----------------------------------|
| Alt. 1      | NO ACTION ALTERNATIVE   |   |                                  |
| 1           | The 'No Action' alternative. This option re-adopts the current plan, planning assumptions and moves the planning horizon out to 2035.   | Motion to Approve:<br>AYE - 6; NAY - 0<br>Motion Passed       | Yes                              |
| Alt. 2      | COUNTY-INITIATED ALTERNATIVE  |   |                                  |
|             | RURAL LANDS   |   |                                  |
| 2.a         | Rural Lands. Change the comp plan map legend from three comp plan designations to one Rural designation to be consistent with current comp planto-zoning matrix table.  | Motion to Approve:<br>AYE - 6; NAY - 0<br>Motion Passed       | Yes                              |
| 2.b         | <b>Agriculture Lands</b> . Change the minimum lot size for parcels zoned AG-20 from 20 acres to 10 acres (AG-10).   | Motion to Deny:<br>AYE - 4; NAY - 2<br>Motion Passed          | Yes                              |
| 2.c         | <b>Forest Lands.</b> Change the minimum lot size for parcels zoned FR-40 from 40 acres to 20 acres (FR-20).   | Motion to Approve:<br>AYE – 2; NAY – 4<br>Motion Failed       | Yes                              |
| 2.d         | <b>Rural Lands.</b> For parcels zoned R-20, from 20 acres to 10 acres, in some areas.   | No Vote Taken   | Yes                              |
| 2.e         | Rural Centers. Combine rural center commercial (CR-2) and rural commercial (CR-1) into a single comp plan designation of 'rural commercial'.  | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 5; NAY – 1<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                              |
| 2.f         | <b>Urban Reserve.</b> Urban reserve (UR) becomes a true overlay. Zoning defaults to underlying zone; some parcels given R-5 zoning. UR code moved to the overlay chapter of Title 40. No change in allowable land uses. | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 5; NAY – 1<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                              |



| Alternative | Option Description   | Planning<br>Commission<br>Recommendation                      | Preferred Alternative 2/23/16 |  |  |
|-------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|--|
|             | URBAN LANDS  |   |                               |  |  |
| 2.g         | <b>Commercial Lands.</b> Combine the three commercial zones (C-2, C-3 and GC) into a single comp plan (C) designation.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 5; NAY – 1<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.h         | Public Facilities. Creation of public facilities zone.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.i         | <b>Urban Holding.</b> Urban holding (UH) becomes a true overlay. Zoning defaults to underlying zone. UH code moved to the overlay chapter of Title 40. No change in allowable land uses. | Motion to Approve<br>AYE - 5; NAY – 1<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.j         | <b>Battle Ground UGA.</b> Changes comp plan and zoning designations to better reflect surrounding land uses.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.k         | Ridgefield UGA. Add the Tri-Mountain Golf Course to the Ridgefield UGA retaining Parks and Open Space (P/OS) zoning and adding an Urban Holding UH-20 overlay.                           | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | No                            |  |  |
| 2.1         | Vancouver UGA. Remove reference to the Three Creeks Special Planning Area.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.m         | Vancouver UGA. Approve the Discovery/Fairgrounds subarea comp plan map and zoning changes.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |
| 2.n         | Vancouver UGA. Approve the Salmon Creek subarea comp plan map and zoning changes.  | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> | Yes                           |  |  |



| Alternative | Option Description   | Planning<br>Commission<br>Recommendation                                   | Preferred Alternative<br>2/23/16   |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| 2.0         | Vancouver UGA. Change some parcels that have a mixed use comp plan designation to a comp plan designation that matches current zoning. | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b>              | Yes  |
| 2.p         | Vancouver UGA. Remove UR adjacent to the Vancouver UGA and replace it with R-5 and AG-20 zoning.                                       | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 5; NAY – 1<br><b>Motion Passed</b>              | Yes  |
| 2.q         | Vancouver UGA. Remove UH in the Fisher Swale area between Vancouver and Camas.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b> `            | Yes  |
| 2.r         | <b>Washougal UGA.</b> Correct mapping error on parcels with city zoning inside the UGA but outside city limits.                        | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b>              | Yes  |
| Alt. 3      | CITY-REQUESTED UGA EXPANSIONS  |  |  |
| 3.a         | Battle Ground. Add 80 acres, now designated R-5, to the UGA for jobs.  | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY -<br>0<br>Motion Passed                  | Yes  |
| 3.b         | <b>La Center.</b> Add 17 acres, now designated R-5, for a school site.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 6; NAY – 0<br><b>Motion Passed</b>              | Yes  |
| 3.c         | <b>La Center.</b> Add 56 acres, now designated AG-20, for jobs.  | Motion to Approve<br>AYE - 3; NAY - 3<br>- TIE VOTE - No<br>Recommendation | Yes, provided that if challenged, La Center will provide for the defense instead of Clark County.  |
| 3.d         | <b>Ridgefield.</b> Add 111 acres, now designated AG-20, for residential.   | Motion to <b>Deny</b><br>AYE – 5; NAY -1<br><b>Motion Passed</b>           | Yes, provided that if challenged, Ridgefield will provide for the defense instead of Clark County. |



| Alternative | Option Description   | Planning<br>Commission<br>Recommendation                                 | Preferred Alternative<br>2/23/16 |
|-------------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| 3.e         | <b>Washougal.</b> Add 41 acres, now designated R-5, for residential.   | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 2; NAY – 3<br>ABSTENTION – 1<br>Motion Failed | No                               |
|             | RURAL, AGRICULTURE, AND FOREST LANDS   |  |                                  |
| Alt. 4      | CHANGES  |  |                                  |
| 4.a         | <b>Rural Lands.</b> Eliminate R-10 and R-20 zones unless publicly owned property. Create R-1 and R-2.5 zones. Maintain R-5 zone.   | Motion to Deny<br>AYE – 5; NAY – 1<br>Motion Passed                      | Motion to Approve: No            |
| 4.b         | <b>Agriculture Lands.</b> Eliminate AG-20 zone unless publicly owned property. Create AG-5 and AG-10 zones.  | Motion to Deny<br>AYE – 4; NAY – 2<br>Motion Passed                      | Motion to Deny: Yes              |
| 4.c         | <b>Forest Lands.</b> Add FR-10 and FR-20 zones to existing FR-40 and FR-80 zones.  | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 2; NAY – 4<br><b>Motion Failed</b>            | Motion to Deny: Yes              |
| 4.abc       | Cluster Options  |  | Motion to Approve: No            |
|             | OTHER RECOMMENDATIONS  |  |                                  |
|             | A <b>Motion</b> was made for the councilor's to allow for a process for flexibility and opportunity for land owners who continuously owned property prior to the 1994 plan to possibly divide their property. The vote was 5-1 to approve. There was discussion as to whether the effort, discussion of the process will come to the PC work session, meetings, etc. | Motion to Approve<br>AYE – 5; NAY 1<br>Motion Passed                     | Motion to Approve: No            |