| C_{-} | 1 | - | 7 (| ١S |) | 1 |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|
| \sim $-$ | - 1 | - 1 | ' (| א ו | • | - 1 |

SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5806

State of Washington 65th Legislature 2017 Regular Session

By Senate Transportation (originally sponsored by Senators Cleveland, Rivers, Wilson, Hobbs, Chase, and Nelson)

READ FIRST TIME 02/23/17.

AN ACT Relating to preliminary work to develop a process for planning for a new Interstate 5 bridge spanning the Columbia river; amending RCW 43.157.030; reenacting and amending RCW 43.157.010; adding a new section to chapter 47.01 RCW; creating a new section; and making an appropriation.

6 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:

7 NEW SECTION. The legislature finds that Washington is Sec. 1. one of our nation's trade leaders, serving as a gateway to both 8 international and interstate trade for the west. Clark county's 9 population has grown by thirty percent over the past fifteen years. 10 11 Recent southwest Washington regional transportation council data 12 found a greater than fifty percent year-over-year increase in peak-13 hour vehicle and truck delays on the Interstate 5 corridor through 14 Vancouver. Southwest Washington find must а path forward establishing a unified plan for infrastructure investments that will 15 16 serve as the basis for progress for the next one hundred years. The 17 economic well-being of our residents safety and cannot representing southwest Washington have set 18 Legislators guiding principles that will enable a planning process to begin to 19 20 select a new Interstate 5 bridge project that will serve as the 21 foundation of an initial investment in the bridges that link

p. 1 SSB 5806

- 1 Washington with Oregon, supporting critical trade routes, alleviating
- 2 congestion, and improving safety.

16

29

- 3 **Sec. 2.** RCW 43.157.010 and 2012 c 63 s 2 are each reenacted and 4 amended to read as follows:
- The definitions in this section apply throughout this chapter and RCW 28A.525.166, ((28B.76.210, 28C.18.080,)) 43.21A.350, and 90.58.100, unless the context requires otherwise:
- 8 (1) "Applicant" means a person applying to the department for 9 designation of a development project as a project of statewide 10 significance.
- 11 (2) "Aviation biofuels production facility" means a facility
 12 primarily for the processing of nonfossil biogenic feedstocks to
 13 produce aviation fuels that meet the fuel quality technical standards
 14 of the American society for testing materials for aviation fuels and
 15 coproducts.
 - (3) "Department" means the department of commerce.
- 17 (4) "Manufacturing" shall have the meaning assigned it in RCW 82.62.010.
- 19 (5)(a) "Project of statewide significance" means:
- 20 (i) A border crossing project that involves both private and 21 public investments carried out in conjunction with adjacent states or 22 provinces;
- 23 (ii) A development project that will provide a net environmental 24 benefit;
- 25 (iii) A development project in furtherance of the 26 commercialization of innovations;
- 27 (iv) A private industrial development with private capital investment in manufacturing or research and development; ((or))
 - (v) An aviation biofuels production facility; or
- 30 <u>(vi) A project designated by the legislature and codified under</u> 31 <u>this chapter</u>.
- 32 (b) To qualify for designation under RCW 43.157.030 as a project 33 of statewide significance:
- 34 (i) The project must be completed after January 1, 2009;
- (ii) The applicant must submit an application to the department for designation as a project of statewide significance to the department of commerce; and
- 38 (iii) Except for an aviation biofuels production facility, the 39 project must have:

p. 2 SSB 5806

- 1 (A) In counties with a population less than or equal to twenty 2 thousand, a capital investment of five million dollars;
- 3 (B) In counties with a population greater than twenty thousand 4 but no more than fifty thousand, a capital investment of ten million 5 dollars;
- 6 (C) In counties with a population greater than fifty thousand but 7 no more than one hundred thousand, a capital investment of fifteen 8 million dollars;
- 9 (D) In counties with a population greater than one hundred 10 thousand but no more than two hundred thousand, a capital investment 11 of twenty million dollars;
- 12 (E) In counties with a population greater than two hundred 13 thousand but no more than four hundred thousand, a capital investment 14 of thirty million dollars;
- 15 (F) In counties with a population greater than four hundred 16 thousand but no more than one million, a capital investment of forty 17 million dollars;
- 18 (G) In counties with a population greater than one million, a 19 capital investment of fifty million dollars;
- 20 (H) In rural counties as defined by RCW 82.14.370, projected 21 full-time employment positions after completion of construction of 22 fifty or greater;
- 23 (I) In counties other than rural counties as defined by RCW 82.14.370, projected full-time employment positions after completion of construction of one hundred or greater; or
- 26 (J) Been qualified by the director of the department as a project 27 of statewide significance either because:
- 28 (I) The economic circumstances of the county merit the additional 29 assistance such designation will bring;
- 30 (II) The impact on a region due to the size and complexity of the 31 project merits such designation;
- 32 (III) The project resulted from or is in furtherance of 33 innovation activities at a public research institution in the state 34 or is in or resulted from innovation activities within an innovation 35 partnership zone; or

36

37

38 39 (IV) The project will provide a net environmental benefit as evidenced by plans for design and construction under green building standards or for the creation of renewable energy technology or components or under other environmental criteria established by the

p. 3 SSB 5806

- 1 director in consultation with the director of the department of 2 ecology.
- A project may be qualified under this subsection (5)(b)(iii)(J) only after consultation on the availability of staff resources of the office of regulatory assistance.
- 6 (6) "Research and development" shall have the meaning assigned it 7 in RCW 82.62.010.
- 8 **Sec. 3.** RCW 43.157.030 and 2009 c 421 s 4 are each amended to 9 read as follows:
- 10 (1) The department of ((community, trade, and economic 11 development)) commerce shall:

12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19 20

21

2223

24

25

2627

28

2930

31

32

33

3435

36

37

38

39

- Develop an application for designation of development projects as projects of statewide significance. The application must be accompanied by a letter of approval from the legislative authority of any jurisdiction that will have the proposed project of statewide significance within its boundaries. No designation of a project as a project of statewide significance shall be made without such letter of approval. The letter of approval must state that the jurisdiction joins in the request for the designation of the project as one of statewide significance and has or will hire the professional staff that will be required to expedite the processes necessary to the completion of a project of statewide significance. The development project proponents may provide the funding necessary for the jurisdiction to hire the professional staff that will be required to so expedite. The application shall contain information regarding the location of the project, the applicant's average employment in the state for the prior year, estimated new employment related to the project, estimated wages of employees related to the project, estimated time schedules for completion and operation, and other information required by the department; and
- (b) Designate a development project as a project of statewide significance if the department determines:
- (i) After review of the application under criteria adopted by rule, the development project will provide significant economic benefit to the local or state economy, or both, the project is aligned with the state's comprehensive plan for economic development under RCW 43.162.020, and, by its designation, the project will not prevent equal consideration of all categories of proposals under RCW 43.157.010; and

p. 4 SSB 5806

1 (ii) The development project meets or will meet the requirements 2 of RCW 43.157.010 regarding designation as a project of statewide 3 significance.

4

5

7

8

22

2324

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

33

- (2) Any project designated by the legislature and codified in this chapter is not subject to the application requirements set out in subsection (1) of this section.
- (3) The office of regulatory assistance shall assign a project facilitator or coordinator to each project of statewide significance to:
- 10 (a) Assist in the scoping and coordinating functions provided for 11 in chapter 43.42 RCW;
- 12 (b) Assemble a team of state and local government and private
 13 officials to help meet the planning, permitting, and development
 14 needs of each project, which team shall include those responsible for
 15 planning, permitting and licensing, infrastructure development,
 16 workforce development services including higher education,
 17 transportation services, and the provision of utilities; and
- 18 (c) Work with each team member to expedite their actions in 19 furtherance of the project.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 4. A new section is added to chapter 47.01 RCW to read as follows:
 - (1) On behalf of the state, the legislature of the state of Washington invites the legislature of the state of Oregon to participate in a joint legislative action committee regarding the construction of a new Interstate 5 bridge spanning the Columbia river that achieves the following purposes:
 - (a) Works with both states' departments of transportation and transportation commissions and stakeholders to begin a process toward project development. It is assumed that the appropriate local and bistate entities already tasked with related work will also be included when the legislative and interagency agreements are ready to move forward. The legislative action committee must convene its first meeting by December 15, 2017;
- 34 (b) Reviews and confirms lead roles related to permitting, 35 construction, operation, and maintenance of a future Interstate 5 36 bridge project;
- 37 (c) Establishes a process to seek public comment on the 38 Interstate 5 bridge project development plan selected and presents 39 final recommendations for the process and financing to both states;

p. 5 SSB 5806

(d) Works to ensure that there are sufficient resources available to both states' departments of transportation to inventory and utilize existing data and any prior relevant work to allow for nonduplicative and efficient decision making regarding a new project;

- (e) Examines all of the potential mass transit options available for a future Interstate 5 bridge project;
- (f) Utilizes design-build procurement, or an equivalent or better innovation delivery method, and determines the least costly, most efficient project management and best practices tools consistent with work already completed including, but not limited to, height, navigation needs, transparency, economic development, and other critical elements, while minimizing the impacts of congestion during construction;
- (g) Considers the creation of a Columbia river bridge authority to review bridge needs for possible repair, maintenance, or new construction, prioritizing those needs and making recommendations to both states with regard to financing specific projects, timing, authorities, and operations; and
- (h) Provides a report to the legislatures of each state that details the findings and recommendations of the legislative action committee by December 15, 2018. The report must also contain a recommendation as to whether the Interstate 5 project should be designated by the legislature of the state of Washington as a project of statewide significance and by the state of Oregon with an equivalent designation.
- (2)(a) The joint Oregon-Washington legislative action committee is established, with members as provided in this subsection:
- (i) The majority leader and minority leader of the senate of both states shall appoint four members, two from each of the two largest caucuses of the senate.
- (ii) The speaker of the house of representatives of both states shall appoint four members, two from each of the two largest caucuses of the house of representatives.
 - (b) The legislative action committee shall choose its cochairs from among its membership, one each from the senate and the house of representatives of both states.
- 37 (c) Staff support for the legislative action committee must be 38 provided by senate committee services and the house of 39 representatives office of program research.

p. 6 SSB 5806

1 (d) Legislative members of the legislative action committee are reimbursed for travel expenses in accordance with RCW 44.04.120.

3

4

5 6

7

8

- (e) The expenses of the legislative action committee must be paid jointly by both states' senate and house of representatives. In Washington, committee expenditures are subject to approval by the senate facilities and operations committee and the house of representatives executive rules committee, or their successor committees.
- 9 (f) Each meeting of the legislative action committee must allow 10 an opportunity for public comment.
- NEW SECTION. Sec. 5. (1) The sum of three hundred fifty thousand dollars, or as much thereof as may be necessary, is appropriated for the fiscal biennium ending June 30, 2019, from the motor vehicle fund to the department of transportation for the purposes of a planning inventory to be conducted in 2017 to document the existing planning data related to the construction of a new Interstate 5 bridge over the Columbia river.
- 18 (2) By December 1, 2017, and in compliance with RCW 43.01.036, 19 the department of transportation must submit a report to the 20 legislature that details the findings of the inventory of existing 21 planning work.

--- END ---

p. 7 SSB 5806