Positives and negatives for Consideration of the City of Vancouver and Clark County PIF Program Cross –Boundary Service Area Overlay Agreement

Positives:

1. Inter-Local Agreement re-establishes a partnership between COV & CC
2. Joint PIF balances leverage for Acquisition and Development of Future Park Lands
3. Opens the door for joint grant applications for Acquisition and Development
4. Improves prospects for finding new properties
5. May improve our ability to fund more trails
6. May lead to many other partnership opportunities. Joint parks and trails planning efforts
7. Regardless of who manages the lands, the citizens will be the beneficiaries
8. Assures the City of Vancouver does not go into concurrency

Negatives / Concerns:

1. If not jointly purchasing property, prospects would be reduced. Competition for available land.
2. 4.1 of the document states that the City of Vancouver overlays will become part of the underlying Vancouver Park Impact Fee District. (See attached Map)
    a. Assumption that COV will collect current and future PIF funds in affected area
    b. Assumption that COV may ask for previous PIF earnings. This would be a difficult ask.
3. Proposal indicates that existing MPD parks in these areas would be transferred to the City
    a. Assumption that COV may want to exercise the annexation land transfer model. If so, MPD parks maintenance funding would be transferred to COV (Kelly Meadows)
    b. MPD maintenance fund transfer could trigger employee transfer agreement
    c. A good portion of the land area in PIF Districts 5, 6 and 7 is planned be annexed in "1-5" years. (See attached map)
    d. Why is there a push to do this now ahead of annexation? Concurrency?
4. Defined agreements could be challenging to keep all parties happy.
5. The timing of this request might raise serious concerns among Clark County Council members.